arXiv:1609.03222v2 [math.AG] 5 Dec 2016

REFLECTION MAPS

GUILLERMO PEÑAFORT SANCHIS

Abstract. In this work we introduce the family of reflection maps, a generalization of the families of fold and double-fold maps. Given a reflection group G acting on $\mathbb{C}^p$, a reflection map $f\colon X \to \mathbb{C}^p$ is the composition of an embedding $h\colon X \hookrightarrow \mathbb{C}^p$ with the orbit map $\omega\colon \mathbb{C}^p \to \mathbb{C}^p$ that maps the G-orbit of a point to a single point. We give obstructions to stability and $\mathcal{A}$-finiteness of reflection maps and produce, in the non-obstructed cases, infinite families of $\mathcal{A}$-finite map-germs of any corank and with unbound order. We also show that reflection maps satisfy the following extension of a conjecture by Lê: there is no injective map-germ $(\mathbb{C}^n, 0) \to (\mathbb{C}^p, 0)$ of corank greater than $p - n$.

1. Introduction

Our ability to understand a singular map $f\colon X \to Y$ between complex manifolds, around a point $x \in X$, depends strongly on the *corank* of f at x, that is, on how far the differential df_x is from having maximal rank (see Definition 12). Finite maps whose corank is smaller than 2 everywhere are called *curvilinear* and have been successfully studied by means of their multiple-point spaces. Take, for instance, the multiple-point formulas, which give relations between certain cohomological classes and are a central topic in enumerative geometry. They were given by Kleiman [18] for curvilinear maps, but are far from well understood in the non-curvilinear case. Similarly, smoothness of the multiple-point spaces characterizes stability of map-germs of corank one [23], but fails to do so in the presence of points of higher corank [32]. Something else showing our poor understanding of non-curvilinear singularities is this open question, know as Lê's conjecture [40] (see Conjecture 1): *Is there any injective map-germ* $(\mathbb{C}^2, 0) \to (\mathbb{C}^3, 0)$ *of corank two?*

The technical obstacles of higher corank singularities have restricted attention to the curvilinear case, and developing the techniques to deal with non-curvilinear maps has become a long-lasting problem. This situation is changing and the interest on non-curvilinear singularities has increased substantially over the last years (for instance: [29] [33], [8], [25], [22] [7], [9] and [2]). The aim of this work is to introduce a class of singular maps, the *reflection maps*, containing not only maps of high corank, but ones we are able to understand. Ultimately, what makes possible to successfully describe reflection maps is the close connection between two objects related to a reflection group: the *orbit map*, a high corank map taking the orbit of a point to a single point, and the *complex* of the group, a stratification of the space induced by the *arrangement of hyperplanes* of the group.

Apart from Section 6, devoted to the relation between injectivity and corank, our main motivation is the *stability* and $\mathcal{A}$*-finiteness* of map-germs. We study maps up-to $\mathcal{A}$*-equivalence*, that is, source and target changes of coordinates (Definition 10). Informally speaking, a multigerm $f\colon (\mathbb{C}^n, S) \to (\mathbb{C}^p, 0)$ is stable if its $\mathcal{A}$-class does not change after a small perturbation, and it is $\mathcal{A}$-finite if stability fails only on a finite number of points (Definitions 15 and 17). It is hard to tell whether or not a given germ is stable or $\mathcal{A}$-finite from these definitions but, for germs of corank

1, there is a simple criterion in terms of multiple-point schemes, due to Marar and Mond [23] (see Section 8). Not having such a criterion for germs of higher corank, examples of $\mathcal{A}$-finite germs of corank greater than one are scarce (some can be found in [24], [1] and [2]), and finding new ones is an interesting problem on its own. We will show that the families of reflection maps contain $\mathcal{A}$-finite map-germs $(\mathbb{C}^n, 0) \to (\mathbb{C}^p, 0)$ of any given corank, as long as $p \geq 2n - 1$ holds. Indeed, we will give explicit infinite families of rank 0 map germs for $p = 2n$ and for $p = 2n - 1$. To the knowledge of the author, these are the first families containing $\mathcal{A}$-finite maps of any corank. Moreover, our families satisfy the additional property that the order of its coordinate functions is unbounded, that is, for any fixed degree d, our families contain map-germs whose Taylor expansion equals zero up-to order d. The importance of this becomes clear in view of the well known equivalence between between $\mathcal{A}$-finiteness and $\mathcal{A}$-finite determinacy (see Section 14).

Before getting into technical details, we introduce now the most basic reflection maps and the idea behind general reflection maps. The simplest family of reflection maps is the fold map family, introduced by Mond [26] in the classification of $\mathcal{A}$-simple maps $(\mathbb{C}^2, 0) \to (\mathbb{C}^3, 0)$.

Definition 1. A *fold map* is a germ $f\colon (\mathbb{C}^n, 0) \to (\mathbb{C}^p, 0)$ of the form

$$x \mapsto (x_1, \ldots, x_{n-1}, {x_n}^2, H(x)),$$

for some $H\colon (\mathbb{C}^n, 0) \to (\mathbb{C}^{p-n}, 0)$.

Fold maps have been studied widely. There are publications devoted exclusively to them, such as [45] and [15], and it follows from work of Whitney [43,44] that all stable singular maps germs $f\colon (\mathbb{C}^n, 0) \to (\mathbb{C}^{2n-1}, 0)$ are fold maps.

A fold map can be regarded as the composition of the graph of H, $x \mapsto (x, H(x))$, with the map $\omega\colon (\mathbb{C}^p, 0) \to (\mathbb{C}^p, 0)$, given by

$$y \mapsto (y_1, \ldots, y_{n-1}, {y_n}^2, y_{n+1}, \ldots, y_p).$$

Observe that ω maps to the same point any $y \in \mathbb{C}^p$ and its image by the map that changes the sign of the n-th coordinate, that is, the reflection

$$r\colon \mathbb{C}^p \to \mathbb{C}^p,$$

with respect the hyperplane $\{y_n = 0\}$. Therefore, a fold is obtained by taking the graph of H and gluing the points lying on the same G-orbit, where G is the group generated by r. Mond shows that the $\mathcal{A}$-classification of fold maps is equivalent to the $\mathcal{K}^T$-classification of H, already carried out by Arnol'd [3], where the $\mathcal{K}^T$-equivalence is an adapted contact equivalence preserving the hyperplane $\{y = 0\}$. In other words, the classes of $\mathcal{A}$-equivalence of fold maps are related to the contact between the graph of H and the hyperplane $\{y = 0\}$.

The next simplest family of reflection maps was introduced by Marar and Nuño Ballesteros, in the search of $\mathcal{A}$-finite germs $(\mathbb{C}^2, 0) \to (\mathbb{C}^3, 0)$ of corank 2.

Definition 2. A *double-fold map* is a germ $f\colon (\mathbb{C}^n, 0) \to (\mathbb{C}^p, 0)$ of the form

$$x \mapsto (x_1, \ldots, {x_{n-1}}^2, {x_n}^2, H(x)),$$

for some $H\colon (\mathbb{C}^n, 0) \to (\mathbb{C}^{p-n}, 0)$.

As before, we may think of a double-fold map as the composition of the graph of H with the map $\omega\colon (\mathbb{C}^p, 0) \to (\mathbb{C}^p, 0)$, given by

$$y \mapsto (y_1, \ldots, y_{n-2}, {y_{n-1}}^2, {y_n}^2, y_{n+1}, \ldots, y_p).$$

In this case, ω maps to the same point any $y \in \mathbb{C}^p$, its images by the reflections

$$r_1, r_2 \colon \mathbb{C}^p \to \mathbb{C}^p$$

with respect to the hyperplanes $\{y_{n-1} = 0\}$ and $\{y_n = 0\}$ and, consequently, also its image by the composition $r_2 r_1$. Therefore, a double-fold map is obtained by taking the graph of H and gluing the points lying on the same orbit by the group G, generated by r_1 and r_2. Based on the connection between the $\mathcal{A}$-equivalence of fold-maps and the relation between the graph of H and $\{y = 0\}$, this author studied in [34] the $\mathcal{A}$-equivalence of double-fold maps $(\mathbb{C}^2, 0) \to (\mathbb{C}^3, 0)$, in terms of the relation between H and the natural stratification of the space given by the hyperplanes $\{x = 0\}$ and $\{y = 0\}$. Many ideas for general reflection maps come from the ones developed there for double-fold maps.

The following generalization of fold and double-fold maps seems natural: Pick any embedding $h \colon X \hookrightarrow Y$, any group G acting on Y, and glue all points in $h(X)$ lying on the same G-orbit. However, in order to end up with a map between complex manifolds, we need to have a holomorphic map $\omega \colon Y \to Y'$ to do the glueing. Hilbert showed [14] that all invariants of a finite group acting linearly on $\mathbb{C}^p$ can be algebraically generated by a finite number $p' \geq p$ of polynomials and, by a result of Noether (see Theorem 4.2), the glueing is done by the map $\omega \colon \mathbb{C}^p \to \mathbb{C}^{p'}$ whose coordinate functions are the generating invariants of G. There are two reasons why we restrict ourselves further and consider only reflection groups: First, by Shephard-Todd's theorem, these are the only groups with exactly p generating invariants (see Theorem 4.1), and thus the composition with $\omega \colon \mathbb{C}^p \to \mathbb{C}^p$ respects the original target of the embedding h. Secondly, reflection groups induce a stratification of the space (see Section 3), analogous to the one mentioned above for double-fold maps, which encodes much information about ω and the action of G.

Outline of the work. Sections 2 to 4 contain, briefly and without proofs, preliminary materials on the theory of reflection groups, illustrated with very simple examples. We define the orbit map $\omega \colon \mathbb{C}^p \to \mathbb{C}^p$ of a reflection group G and its complex $\mathscr{C}$, which is a stratification of $\mathbb{C}^p$ with nice properties.

In Section 5 we define reflection maps as the composition of an embedding $h \colon X \hookrightarrow \mathbb{C}^p$ with the orbit map $\omega \colon \mathbb{C}^p \to \mathbb{C}^p$ and give some examples. We also define essential reflection maps and reflected graphs and show some basic results.

Section 6 deals with the relation between injectivity and the corank k locus of reflection maps. We prove that reflection maps satisfy the following extended version of Lê's conjecture:

Proposition (6.4)**.** *There is no injective germ of reflection map* $f \colon (\mathbb{C}^n, 0) \to (\mathbb{C}^p, 0)$*, with* $\operatorname{corank} f > p - n$

The remaining sections are devoted to the study of stability and $\mathcal{A}$-finiteness of reflection maps in terms of their double-point schemes. The main result of Section 7 is Theorem 7.3, which characterizes normal crossings of reflection maps (a necessary condition for stability) in terms of two properties, determined by the relation between the embedding h and the group G. From this follow obstructions for reflection maps to have normal crossings, and hence to be stable.

Sections 8, 9, 10 and 11 are devoted to double-point spaces of reflection maps. The main result of Section 8 is the following scheme-theoretical description of the double-point space $D^2(\omega) \subseteq \mathbb{C}^p \times \mathbb{C}^p$ of any orbit map ω:

Theorem (8.2)**.** *The double-point space $D^2(\omega)$ is a reduced Cohen-Macaulay space, with irreducible decomposition*

$$D^2(\omega) = \bigcup_{g \in G\setminus\{1\}} D_g,$$

where $D_g = \{(y, gy) \mid x \in \mathbb{C}^p\}$.

In Section 9 we introduce another double-point scheme, originally due to Ronga [36], better suited to our purposes. For a map $f\colon X \to Y$, the double-point space $B^2(f)$ is a subspace of the blowup $B^2(X)$ of $X \times X$ along the diagonal ΔX. The necessary properties of this double-point space are given. The description of the double-point space $B^2(\omega)$ of any orbit map is carried out in Section 10:

Theorem (10.4)**.** *The double-point space $B^2(\omega)$ is a reduced complete intersection, with irreducible decomposition*

$$B^2(\omega) = \bigcup_{g \in G\setminus\{1\}} B_g,$$

where B_g can be identified with the blowup of D_g along $D_g \cap \Delta X = \{(x, x) \in \mathbb{C}^p \mid x \in \operatorname{Fix} g\}$.

We also describe the singular locus S_G of $B^2(\omega)$, which plays a crucial role in following sections. In Section 11, we start by showing that any embedding $h\colon X \hookrightarrow Y$ induces an embedding $\widetilde{h}\colon B^2(X) \hookrightarrow B^2(Y)$, such that, for any map $f = F \circ h$, we have

$$B^2(f) = \widetilde{h}^{-1}(B^2(F)).$$

This leads to the following decomposition of the double-point space $B^2(f)$ of a reflection map:

$$B^2(f) = \bigcup_{g \in G\setminus\{1\}} B_g(h),$$

where $B_g(h) := \widetilde{h}^{-1}(B_g)$. Compared to the hard geometry usually found in double-point spaces of maps of corank greater than one, this is a very simple description of all the branches $B_g(h)$ of the double-point space $B^2(f)$.

Sections 12 and 13 contain the most relevant results of this work, which are the obstructions and criteria for stability and $\mathcal{A}$-finiteness of reflection maps, and the families of $\mathcal{A}$-finite reflection maps of rank 0. We combine previous obstructions for normal crossings from Section 7 with results from Sections 10 and 11 to obtain the following: If a reflection map f is stable, then $\widetilde{h}^{-1}(S_G) = \emptyset$. Putting together this result, the description of S_G in Section 10, and the description of the corank k locus from Section 5, we obtain the following:

Theorem (12.3)**.** *There is no stable germ of reflection map of corank ≥ 2, and all stable essential reflection maps of corank one are fold maps.*

Theorem (12.4)**.** *For $p < 2n - 1$, there is no $\mathcal{A}$-finite reflection map-germ $f\colon (\mathbb{C}^n, 0) \to (\mathbb{C}^p, 0)$ of* corank $f \geq 2$*, and all $\mathcal{A}$-finite essential reflection maps of corank one are fold maps.*

In Section 13 we give criteria for $\mathcal{A}$-finiteness in arbitrary corank in the non-obstructed dimensions $p \geq 2n - 1$ in terms of the double-point space $B^2(f)$ (Propositions 13.1 and 13.3). These criteria, together with the explicit description of the branches $B_g(h)$, make easy to find $\mathcal{A}$-finite corank ≥ 2 reflection maps in the dimension where they exist. With the help of some technical

results contained in Section 15, we give infinite families of rank 0 $\mathcal{A}$-finite map-germs for $p = 2n$ and $p = 2n-1$. For instance, for pairwise coprime integers m_i, we show that the following germs are $\mathcal{A}$-finite:

$$\begin{aligned} x &\mapsto (x^{m_1}, x^{m_2}) \\ (x_1, x_2) &\mapsto ({x_1}^{m_1}, {x_2}^{m_2}, (x_1+x_2)^{m_3}) \\ (x_1, x_2) &\mapsto ({x_1}^{m_1}, {x_2}^{m_2}, (x_1+x_2)^{m_3}, (x_1-x_2)^{m_4}) \\ (x_1, x_2, x_3) &\mapsto ({x_1}^{m_1}, {x_2}^{m_2}, {x_3}^{m_3}, (x_1+x_2+x_3)^{m_4}, (x_1-x_2+2x_3)^{m_5}, (x_1+2x_2-x_3)^{m_6}). \end{aligned}$$

Finally, in Section 14 we discuss the presence of reflection maps in the classifications of map-germs of corank 1, and our main motivation to search examples of $\mathcal{A}$-finite map-germs of corank 2.

For simplicity, this work is written over $\mathbb{C}$, but most results should apply to $\mathbb{R}$ with little or no change. Some others, such as the characterization of injectivity in Lemma 6.1, require replacing (complex) reflection groups by Coxeter groups.

Notation. Throughout the text, $f\colon X \to Y$ stands for a finite holomorphic map between complex manifolds.

Aknowledgements

I thank Ton Marar and Juan José Nuño Ballesteros, who introduced me to double-fold maps; David Mond, who suggested to generalize the results using reflection groups; Javier Fernández de Bobadilla, responsible for the general definition of reflection maps; Atoshi Chowdhury and Carolina Vallejo, for help on Section 15 and Bruna Oréfice, for hosting me at Universidade Federal de São Carlos and for many useful conversations.

2. Preliminaries: Reflection groups

This and the following two sections contain preliminary results about reflection groups. Proofs can be found in [21].

Notation. If G is a group acting on a set X, we write $\operatorname{Fix} g = \{x \in X \mid gx = x\}$. We write the orbit of a subset S of X as $GS = \{gs \mid g \in G, s \in S\}$ and drop unnecessary brackets, so that $Gx = G\{x\}$. For an element $g \in G$, we write $gS = \{gy \mid y \in S\}$.

We fix a positive definite hermitian form $\langle -, - \rangle$ on $\mathbb{C}^p$, given by $(\sum a_i e_i, \sum b_j e_j) \mapsto \sum a_i \bar{b}_i$, where $e_i \in \mathbb{C}^p$ stands for the ith canonical vector. A linear map $g\colon \mathbb{C}^p \to \mathbb{C}^p$ is said to be *unitary* if $\langle y, y' \rangle = \langle gy, gy' \rangle$, for all $y, y' \in \mathbb{C}^p$.

We write $\mathrm{GL}(p)$ for the group of linear automorphisms $\mathbb{C}^p \to \mathbb{C}^p$, and $\mathrm{U}(p)$ for the subgroup of unitary automorphisms. When we say that G is a subgroup of $\mathrm{GL}(p)$, then we give G the action obtained by restriction of the action of $\mathrm{GL}(p)$. If we say that two subgroups of $\mathrm{GL}(p)$ are isomorphic, then the isomorphism is assumed compatible with these actions. This is relevant, as some non-isomorphic reflection groups are isomorphic as abstract groups.

Definition 3. A *reflection* on $\mathbb{C}^p$ is a linear map $r\colon \mathbb{C}^p \to \mathbb{C}^p$, satisfying

(1) r is unitary,
(2) r has finite order,
(3) $\dim \operatorname{Fix} r = n - 1$.



FIGURE 1. Reflecting hyperplanes of a regular polygon of 3 sides (real picture).

If r is a reflection, then its *reflecting hyperplane* is the subspace of $\mathbb{C}^p$

$$H_r = \text{Fix } r.$$

Any non-zero element $a \in H_r^\perp$ is called a *root* of r.

As an element of $U(p)$, every reflection r acts trivially on H_r, and by multiplication by a finite-order root of the unity on the subspace $H_r^\perp$. By linearity, this characterizes the action of r on $\mathbb{C}^p$.

Definition 4. A subgroup G of $U(p)$ is a *reflection group* if it is finite and can be generated by reflections.

Remark 1. As long as we work up-to linear changes of coordinates, dropping the condition of being unitary does not provide any greater generality: Every finite subgroup W of $\mathrm{GL}(p)$ admits an invariant positive definite hermitian form (obtained by averaging any positive definite hermitian form), and all positive definite hermitian forms are of the form $(\sum a_i u_i, \sum b_j u_j) \mapsto \sum a_i \bar{b_i}$, for some basis u_i of $\mathbb{C}^p$. Hence, every finite subgroup of $\mathrm{GL}(p)$ can be transformed, by the corresponding change of basis, into a finite subgroup of $\mathrm{U}(p)$.

Definition 5. The *rank* of G is the dimension of the $\mathbb{C}$-vector subspace $V \leq \mathbb{C}^p$ spanned by the roots of a generating system of reflections of G.

The space V does not depend on the choice of the generating system, and is indeed equal to the subspace spanned by the roots of all reflections in G. The group G acts on V and fixes $V^\perp$ pointwise.

Example 1. The cyclic group $Z_m := \mathbb{Z}/m\mathbb{Z}$ acts on $\mathbb{C}$ (and also on $\mathbb{R}$, if $m = 2$) by multiplication by powers of a primitive mth root of unity. We label the elements in G_m by numbers $0 \leq a < m$, so that the action of the element a is $x \mapsto e^{\frac{2\pi i}{m}a}x$.

Example 2. The group $I_2(m)$ of symmetries of the m-gon (Figure 1) acts on $\mathbb{C}^2$ (and also on $\mathbb{R}^2$). It contains m order-two reflections r_k, with roots $a_k = (-\sin\rho, \cos\rho)$, $\rho = \frac{k\pi}{m}$ for $k = 0, \ldots, m-1$. Apart from the identity and the reflections r_k, $I_2(m)$ contains the elements $s_k = r_k r_0$, for $k = 1, \ldots, m-1$.

Given two groups G_1 and G_2 acting on $\mathbb{C}^{p_1}$ and $\mathbb{C}^{p_2}$, the product $G = G_1 \times G_2$ acts as a reflection group on $\mathbb{C}^{p_1} \oplus \mathbb{C}^{p_2}$. Reflections on each $\mathbb{C}^{p_i}$ become reflections on $\mathbb{C}^{p_1} \oplus \mathbb{C}^{p_2}$, by



FIGURE 2. The Group $Z_{2,2}$.

extending their actions trivially. Obviously, $G_1 \times G_2$ is generated by the (extensions of) two respective generating sets of reflections of G_1 and G_2.

Example 3. We write $Z_{m_1,\dots,m_p} = Z_{m_1} \times \cdots \times Z_{m_p}$ (see Example 1). The elements in $Z_{m_1,\dots,m_p}$ are labelled $i_a = i_{a_1,\dots,a_p}$, with $0 \leq a_i < m_i$ and $a = (a_1, \dots, a_p)$. If we let e_i be the ith canonical vector in $\mathbb{C}^p$, then

$$\operatorname{Fix} i_a = \langle e_i \mid a_i = 0 \rangle.$$

Consequently, i_a is a reflection if and only if exactly one a_i is not zero. The elements of $Z_{2,2}$ are depicted in Figure 2.

Reflection groups that cannot be obtained as product of nontrivial reflection groups, are called irreducible, and were classified by Shephard and Todd [37]. The list consists of the infinite family $G(m, p, n)$ and 34 other reflection groups.

3. Preliminaries: Facets

Definition 6. The *arrangement of hyperplanes* $\mathscr{A}$ of G is the union

$$\mathscr{A} = \bigcup_{i=1}^{k} H_i,$$

of the reflecting hyperplanes $H_1, \dots, H_k$ of all reflections in G.

The reflecting hyperplanes $H_1, \dots, H_k$ induce a partition of $\mathbb{C}^p$ as follows: For any subset $B \subseteq \{1, \dots, k\}$, set

$$C_B := \{y \in \mathbb{C}^p \mid y \in H_i \Leftrightarrow i \in B\}.$$

Notation. We drop brackets and write the elements in B in order, so that we write $C_{1,2}$ for $C_{\{2,1\}}$, as in Figure 3.

Each nonempty set C_B is called a *facet* and the set $\mathscr{C}$ of all facets is the *complex of* G. For every point $y \in \mathbb{C}^p$ there is a facet $C \in \mathscr{C}$, consisting of the points contained in exactly the same hyperplanes y is contained in. Obviously, $\mathscr{C}$ forms a partition of $\mathbb{C}^p$ (caution: this is motivated from, but not equal to, the Coxeter complex of a real reflection group G, a finer stratification of the space where certain positivity questions are taken into account. The Coxeter complex is explained in detail in [17]).



FIGURE 3. Facets of Z_{m_1,m_2}.

The way facets are defined, it is clear that the closure of a facet C_B is the vector subspace $\langle C_B \rangle$ spanned by C_B, and equals the intersection of the hyperplanes $H_i, i \in B$.

Notation. For any $y \in \mathbb{C}^p$, the tangent space $T_y\mathbb{C}^p$ can be identified with $\mathbb{C}^p$ itself, and the differential of ω at y can be regarded as a linear map $\mathbb{C}^p \to \mathbb{C}^p$. Since the tangent of a facet $C \in \mathscr{C}$ is the same at any point, it makes sense to write its orthogonal complement (at any point) as $C^\perp \leq \mathbb{C}^p$.

If we pick roots a_i of the hyperplanes H_i, then we have

$$C_B{}^\perp = \langle a_i \mid i \in B \rangle.$$

The following result, which is just a restatement of [21, Corollary 9.14], is key to many of the results in this work:

Proposition 3.1. *For any facet $C \in \mathscr{C}$, there exists an element $g \in G$ such that* $\operatorname{Fix} g = \langle C \rangle$.

Example 4. The reflecting hyperplanes of $Z_{m_1,\dots,m_p}$ (Example 3) are just

$$H_i := \{y_i = 0\},\ i = 1, \dots, p.$$

For any subset $B \subseteq \{1, \dots, p\}$, we have the facet

$$C_B = \{y_i = 0 \Leftrightarrow i \in B\},$$

whose closure is

$$\langle C_B \rangle = \{y_i = 0, \text{ for all } i \in B\}.$$

The orthogonal complement of C_B is

$$C_B{}^\perp = \langle e_i \mid i \in B \rangle.$$

Finally, for any facet C_B, pick any element $i_a \in Z_{m_1,\dots,m_p}$, with $a_i \neq 0$ if $i \in B$ and $a_i = 0$ otherwise. It is immediate that $\operatorname{Fix} i_a = \langle C_B \rangle$. The complex of Z_{m_1,m_2} is depicted in Figure 3.

The following result is due to Steinberg [39].

Theorem 3.2. *For any subset $A \subseteq \mathbb{C}^p$, the pointwise stabilizer*

$$G_A = \{g \in G \mid ga = a,\ \textit{for all } a \in A\}$$

is a reflection group, generated by the reflections in G whose reflecting hyperplanes contain A.

Corollary 3.3. (1) *For any $y \in \mathbb{C}^p \setminus \mathscr{A}$, we have $G_y = 1$.*
(2) *If $y \in \mathbb{C}^p$ is a point in a facet $C \in \mathscr{C}$, then $G_y = G_C$.*

Proof. The first item is immediate. The second follows by construction of the facets, because the reflecting hyperplanes containing y are exactly the ones containing C. □

4. Preliminaries: The orbit map

The results in this section are due to Shephard-Todd [37], Chevalley [5] and Stanley [38]. Equivalent results over $\mathbb{R}$ can be found in [17].

Theorem 4.1. *If G is a reflection group acting on $\mathbb{C}^p$, then there exist p homogeneous algebraically independent polynomials $\omega_1, \dots, \omega_p$, such that the algebra $\mathbb{C}[y_1, \dots, y_p]^G$ of G-invariant polynomials equals $\mathbb{C}[\omega_1, \dots, \omega_p]$. Conversely, if a finite group G acting linearly on $\mathbb{C}^p$ admits such polynomials, then it is a reflection group.*

Definition 7. The map $\omega\colon \mathbb{C}^p \to \mathbb{C}^p$ whose coordinate functions are $\omega_1, \dots, \omega_p$ is called the *orbit map* of G.

Remark 2. The map ω is unique up-to invertible polynomial transformations in the target. Since we work with objects which are invariant under such transformations, the choice of ω does not matter. This justifies us abusively calling ω *the* orbit map of G.

The name of the orbit map is motivated by the following theorem, due to Noether [31]. The result is true in a more general situation, namely when the coordinate functions of ω generate the invariants of a finite group acting lineraly on $\mathbb{C}^p$.

Theorem 4.2. *For any $y \in \mathbb{C}^p$, we have $\omega^{-1}(\omega(y)) = Gy$.*

Once ordered, the degrees $d_1 = \deg \omega_1 \leq \cdots \leq d_n = \deg \omega_n$ are uniquely determined, and called the *degrees of G*.

Proposition 4.3. *The degrees d_i of a reflection group G satisfy:*

1. $d_1 \cdots d_n = |G|$,
2. $\sum_i (d_i - 1) = \#$ *reflections in G.*

Moreover, if $\omega_1, \dots, \omega_p$ are any algebraically independent G-invariant homogeneous polynomials whose degrees satisfy the previous conditions, then $\omega = (\omega_1, \dots, \omega_p)$ is the orbit map of G.

Corollary 4.4. *If G_1 and G_2 are reflection groups with orbit maps ω_1, ω_2, respectively, then the orbit map of $G_1 \times G_2$ is*

$$\omega = \omega_1 \times \omega_2.$$

Example 5. The orbit map $\omega\colon \mathbb{C}^p \to \mathbb{C}^p$ of the group $Z_{m_1,\dots,m_p}$ (Example 3) is given by

$$(y_1, \dots, y_p) \mapsto ({y_1}^{m_1}, \dots, {y_p}^{m_p}).$$

The orbit map ω of $Z_{2,2}$ is known as the *Folded Hankerchief*:

$$(x, y) \mapsto (x^2, y^2).$$

The picture to have in mind to visulize the Folded Hankerchief is the composition of $(x, y) \mapsto (x^2, y^2, x(1 + y))$, with the projection $(X, Y, Z) \mapsto (X, Y)$, as in Figure 4.

Remark 3. The family $Z_{m_1,\dots,m_p}$ produces orbit maps $\mathbb{C}^p \to \mathbb{C}^p$ whose coordinate functions have unbounded degree. This is a nice consequence of having the family Z_m, with arbitrarily high order groups acting on $\mathbb{C}$. There is not such a family over $\mathbb{R}$, and $I_2(m)$ is the only infinite family of irreducible Coxeter groups acting on vector spaces of some fixed dimension. Unfortunately,



FIGURE 4. the Folded Hankerchief.

the orbit map $\mathbb{R}^2 \to \mathbb{R}^2$ of $I_2(m)$ always has a coordinate function of degree 2 (and the other of degree m. This follows by Proposition 4.3, Example 2 and the fact that $\sum {y_i}^2$ is invariant under any real reflection). The consequence is the following: For any fixed positive integer p, let $q = p/2$ if p is even, and $q = (p+1)/2$ if p is odd. Then there is an upper bound for the q smaller degrees of the coordinate functions of *all* orbit maps $\mathbb{R}^p \to \mathbb{R}^p$.

Definition 8. We write $J(\omega) = \det(\frac{\partial \omega_i}{\partial y_j})$ and denote by J_G the ideal generated by $J(\omega)$.

The ideal J_G is well defined because of the following property:

Proposition 4.5. *For any reflection group G we have:*

$$J_G = \langle \prod_r h_r \rangle,$$

where h_r is a reduced equation defining the reflecting hyperplane of the reflection r, and the product runs over all the reflections in G.

In particular, it follows that $\deg J(\omega)$ equals the number of reflections in G. Observe that the product $\prod_r h_r$ might contain repeated factors coming from reflections sharing a reflecting hyperplane.

We already know that $\mathscr{A}$ is the set of points where ω is singular, but we will need more information in order to control the corank of the reflection maps. The following lemma is a direct consequence of the proof of [21, Theorem 9.13]:

Lemma 4.6. *For any point $y \in \mathbb{C}^p$ in a facet $C \in \mathscr{C}$, we have that* $\ker d\omega_x = C^\perp$.

5. Reflection maps

Here we define (generalized) reflection maps, essential reflection maps and reflected graphs. We give some examples and show basic properties.

Definition 9. Given a reflection group G acting on $\mathbb{C}^p$, a *G-reflection map* $f\colon X \to \mathbb{C}^p$ is a map of the form

$$f = \omega \circ h,$$

where $h\colon X \hookrightarrow \mathbb{C}^p$ is an embedding and $\omega\colon \mathbb{C}^p \to \mathbb{C}^p$ is the orbit map of G.

Some results do not require h to be an embedding. If we only ask $h\colon X \to \mathbb{C}^p$ to be a finite map, then $f = \omega \circ h$ is a *generalized reflection map.*

In what follows, if we say that $f\colon X \to \mathbb{C}^p$ is a reflection map, the group G and the maps h and ω will be understood.

Definition 10. Two maps $f\colon X \to Y$ and $f'\colon X' \to Y'$ are $\mathcal{A}$-equivalent if there exist biholomorphisms $\phi\colon X' \to X$ and $\psi\colon Y' \to Y$, such that $f' = \psi \circ f \circ \phi$. The definition extends to multigerms by taking representatives.

Remark 4. Since ω is unique up-to invertible polynomial transformations in the target, the $\mathcal{A}$-class of a G-reflection map does not depend on the choice of the orbit map ω.

Example 6. The following are three different ways to obtain a parametrized a cusp

$$t \mapsto (t^2, t^3),$$

as a reflection map (Figure 5):

(1) $h(t) = (t, t^3)$ and $\omega(X, Y) = (X^2, Y)$, with reflection group Z_2,
(2) $h(t) = (t^2, t)$ and $\omega(X, Y) = (X, Y^3)$, with reflection group Z_3,
(3) $h(t) = (t, t)$ and $\omega(X, Y) = (X^2, Y^3)$, with reflection group $Z_{2,3}$.

Example 7. Clearly, all fold maps (Definition 1) are Z_2-reflection maps, and all double-fold maps (Definition 2) are $Z_{2,2}$-reflection maps. As we saw in the previous example, some families of reflection maps are not mutually exclusive. For instance, the Cross-Cap can be parametrized as a fold map

$$(x, y) \mapsto (x, y^2, xy),$$

or as a double-fold map

$$(x^2, y^2, x + y).$$

A detailed study of double-fold maps can be found in [34]. From this work follows that a generic (in the sense that some transversality conditions are met) choice of a function $h \in \mathcal{O}_2$ of order two produces an $\mathcal{A}$-finite corank 2 double-fold map $(x, y) \mapsto (x^2, y^2, h(x, y))$.

Example 8. By inspection on the classification by Mond [26], it follows that all $\mathcal{A}$-simple germs $\mathbb{C}^2 \to \mathbb{C}^3$ are reflection maps: The families

$$S_k\colon\ (x, y) \mapsto (x, y^2, y^3 + x^{k+1}y),\ k \geq 0,$$
$$B_k\colon\ (x, y) \mapsto (x, y^2, x^2y + y^{2k+1}),\ k \geq 1,$$

and the germ

$$F_4\colon\ (x, y) \mapsto (x, y^2, x^2y + y^{2k+1}),\ k \geq 1,$$

are all fold maps. The remaining family

$$H_k\colon\ (x, y) \mapsto (x, y^3, xy + y^{3k-1}),\ k \geq 1,$$

consists of Z_3-reflection maps.

Further examples of reflection maps can be found in Section 13. Now we introduce a particular kind of reflection maps:



FIGURE 5. Three ways to obtain a cusp as a reflection map.

Definition 11. Let $H\colon \mathbb{C}^n \to \mathbb{C}^r$ be any map, and let G be a reflection group acting on $\mathbb{C}^n$, with orbit map ω. The *G-reflected graph of H* is the map $(\omega, H)\colon \mathbb{C}^n \to \mathbb{C}^{n+r}$, given by

$$x \mapsto (\omega(x), H(x)).$$

The reflected graph (ω, H) is the reflection obtained by taking the graph embedding $h = \Gamma_H$, given by $x \mapsto (x, H(x))$, and letting G act on $\mathbb{C}^{n+r}$, trivially on the last r coordinates.

Lemma 5.1. *Let f be a (generalized) reflection map. If $h(x)$ is contained in the facet $C \in \mathscr{C}$, then*

$$\ker(df_x) = dh_x^{-1}(C^\perp).$$

Proof. Apply chain rule to $f = \omega \circ h$ and use Proposition 4.6. □

Example 9. Let $h_s, s \in \mathbb{C}$, be the family of embeddings $t \mapsto (t, t^3 - st)$ and f_s the corresponding Z_2-reflection maps (Figure 6), given by

$$t \mapsto (t^2, t^3 - st).$$

The complex of Z_2 is just $\{C_\emptyset, C_1\}$, with $C_\emptyset = \{(x, y) \in \mathbb{C}^2 \mid X \neq 0\}$ and $C_1 = \{(x, y) \in \mathbb{C}^2 \mid x = 0\}$. Since $C_\emptyset$ is an open subset of $\mathbb{C}^2$, we have ${\mathbb{C}_\emptyset}^\perp = 0$ and, being h_s an embedding, f_s cannot be singular away from C_1. The embedding h_0 crosses the facet C_1 orthogonally and produces the singularity of f_0 at the origin. The perturbation $h_s, s \neq 0$ is no longer orthogonal to C_1 and, consequently, f_s is immersive for $s \neq 0$.



FIGURE 6. The singularities of f depend on how h crosses the facets.

Definition 12. The *corank of* $f\colon X \to Y$ *at* $x \in X$ is the dimension over $\mathbb{C}$ of the kernel of the differential df_x. If $\dim X = n$, then

$$\operatorname{corank} f_x = n - \operatorname{rank} df_x.$$

The corank of a map-germ $f\colon (\mathbb{C}^n, 0) \to (\mathbb{C}^p, 0)$ is the corank at 0 of a representative. We say that f is *singular at* x if df_x is singular, that is, if $\operatorname{corank} f_x \geq 1$. Maps with corank ≤ 1 everywhere are called *curvilinear*.

Remark 5. If $\operatorname{corank} f_x \leq 1$, then locally f is $\mathcal{A}$-equivalent to a family of curves of the form

$$(x, t) \mapsto (x, \gamma_x(t)),$$

where $x = (x_1, \ldots, x_{n-1})$ and t are local coordinates in X, whence the name curvilinear.

Definition 13. We say that a germ of reflection map $f\colon (\mathbb{C}^n, 0) \to (\mathbb{C}^p, 0)$ is *essential* if

$$\operatorname{corank} f = \operatorname{rank} G,$$

or equivalently, $C^\perp \subseteq \operatorname{im} dh_0$, where $C \in \mathscr{C}$ is the facet passing through the origin.

Proposition 5.2. *Every essential reflection map is $\mathcal{A}$-equivalent to a reflected graph.*

Proof. Take the decomposition $\mathbb{C}^p = C^\perp \oplus C$, where $C \in \mathscr{C}$ is the facet passing through the origin. If $\operatorname{rank} G = k$, then there is unitary change of coordinates in $\psi\colon (\mathbb{C}^p, 0) \to (\mathbb{C}^p, 0)$ making the previous decomposition just $\mathbb{C}^p = \mathbb{C}^k \oplus \mathbb{C}^{p-k}$. We have a new orbit map $\omega' = \psi \circ \omega$ of the form

$$(y_1, \ldots, y_p) \mapsto (\omega'_1(y), \ldots, \omega'_k(y), y_{k+1}, \ldots, y_p).$$

If f is essential, then $\operatorname{im} dh_0 \cap C^\perp = C^\perp$, and thus ψ can be chosen such that $\phi\colon (\mathbb{C}^n, 0) \to (\mathbb{C}^n, 0)$, given by

$$x \mapsto (h_1(x), \ldots, h_n(x))$$

is a germ of biholomorphism.

We have the $\mathcal{A}$-equivalence $f = \psi^{-1} \circ (\omega'', H) \circ \phi$, where $H\colon \mathbb{C}^n \to \mathbb{C}^{p-n}$ is given by

$$x \mapsto (h_{n+1} \circ \phi^{-1}, \ldots, h_p \circ \phi^{-1}),$$

and $\omega''\colon (\mathbb{C}^n, 0) \to (\mathbb{C}^n, 0)$ is given by

$$y \mapsto (\omega'_1(y), \ldots, \omega'_k(y), y_{k+1}, \ldots, y_n). \qquad \square$$

6. Corank and injectivity

We study injectivity of germs of reflection maps, and relate it to their corank. Our main motivation comes from the following Conjecture 1, due to Lê Dúng Trang [40]. As we will see, we are able to show an extended version of this conjecture for germs of reflection maps.

Conjecture 1. *There is no injective corank 2 map-germ* $f\colon (\mathbb{C}^2,0)\to(\mathbb{C}^3,0)$.

Lemma 6.1. *Let f be a (generalized) reflection map and write $Y=\operatorname{im} h$. Then, f is injective if and only if h is injective and*

$$Y\cap gY\subseteq \operatorname{Fix} g,$$

for all $g\in G\setminus\{1\}$.

Proof. The map h has to be injective for f to be injective. If h is injective, then f is injective if and only if there exist $y,y'\in Y$, with $y'\neq y$ and $\omega(y')=\omega(y)$, that is, such that $y'=gy$, for some $g\in G$ with $y\notin \operatorname{Fix} g$. □

Proposition 6.2. *There is no injective germ of (generalized) reflection map* $f\colon(\mathbb{C}^n,0)\to(\mathbb{C}^p,0)$, *with* $\operatorname{rank} G>2(p-n)$.

Proof. The hypothesis $\operatorname{rank} G>2(p-n)$ implies that the facet $C\in\mathscr{C}$ passing through the origin has dimension strictly smaller than $2n-p$. By Proposition 3.1, there is an element $g\in G$, such that $\operatorname{Fix} g=C$. Since Y is an n-dimensional space passing through the origin, $Y\cap gY$ is a non-empty space of dimension $\geq p-2(p-n)=2n-p$. Therefore, $Y\cap gY$ cannot be contained in $\operatorname{Fix} g$, and the claim follows from Lemma 6.1. □

Proposition 6.3. *There is no injective germ of (generalized) reflection map* $f\colon(\mathbb{C}^n,0)\to(\mathbb{C}^p,0)$, *with* $\operatorname{corank} f-\operatorname{corank} h>p-n$.

Proof. We can assume $p\leq 2n$, since otherwise the statement is trivial. If f is injective, then h is injective and the dimension of $Y=\operatorname{im} h$ is n. Let $T=\operatorname{im} dh_0$, and let $C\in\mathscr{C}$ be the facet passing through the origin. By Lemma 5.1, the hypothesis implies $\dim(T\cap C^\perp)\geq p-n+1$, and therefore $\dim(Y\cap C)\leq n-(p-n+1)=2n-p-1$. The same argument as in the proof of Proposition 6.2 finishes the proof. □

The previous proposition implies the following result:

Proposition 6.4. *There is no injective germ of reflection map* $f\colon(\mathbb{C}^n,0)\to(\mathbb{C}^p,0)$ *with* $\operatorname{corank} f>p-n$. *In particular, Conjecture 1 is true for reflection maps.*

In view of this result, it is natural to ask:

Question 1. Are there injective germs $f\colon(\mathbb{C}^n,0)\to(\mathbb{C}^p,0)$ with $\operatorname{corank} f>p-n$?

To the knowledge of the author, the only answer so far is for the case of $n=p$, where a map is nonsingular if and only if it is locally injective. Moreover, the previous inequality is sharp, in the sense that we can produce injective map-germs $f\colon(\mathbb{C}^n,0)\to(\mathbb{C}^p,0)$, with $\operatorname{corank} f=p-n$, (assuming $p\leq 2n$, otherwise the question is trivial).

Example 10. Let $r=n-(p-n)$ and $s=(p-n)$, and thus $n=r+s$ and $p=r+2s$. Write the coordinates in $\mathbb{C}^n$ as $x=(x_1,\dots,x_r)$ and $y=(y_1,\dots,y_s)$ and take pairs $(a_i,b_i), i=1,\dots,s$ of coprime numbers. We claim that the reflection map f, given by

$$(x,y)\mapsto(x_1,\dots,x_r,{y_1}^{a_1},{y_1}^{b_1},\dots,{y_s}^{a_s},{y_s}^{b_s}),$$

has corank $p-n$ and is injective. The claim on the corank is obvious. If f is not injective, then there is some $(x,y) \neq (x',y')$ with $f(x,y) = f(x',y')$. This implies $x = x'$, and therefore $y_i \neq y_i' \in \mathbb{C}$, ${y_i}^{a_i} = {y_i'}^{a_i}$ and ${y_i}^{b_i} = {y_i'}^{b_i}$, for some $1 \leq i \leq s$. This contradicts the statement that a_i and b_i are coprime.

7. Normal crossings

We introduce the notions of stability and $\mathcal{A}$-finiteness and study the normal crossings condition for reflection maps. Having normal crossings is a necessary condition for any finite map $f\colon X \to Y$ to be stable and, while stability is harder to study, normal crossings amounts to relatively easy transversality calculations. Indeed, we are able to decide whether a given (generalized) reflection map f has normal crossings just in terms of the translates $gh, g \in G$.

We start by studying normal crossings of the orbit map (Proposition 7.2). Then, we show two examples of reflection maps where pathologies arise (Examples 11 and 12). We introduce the conditions of being *one-to-orbit over* $\mathscr{A}$ and having *orbit normal crossings* for an embedding h (Definitions 19 and 20). If h mets these conditions, then the reflection map f avoids the previous pathologies. The main result is that these conditions characterize normal crossings of (generalized) reflection maps (Theorem 7.3). Finally, we obtain some restrictions for a reflection map to have normal crossings (Proposition 7.6). Ultimately, the pathologies related to the one-to-orbit over $\mathscr{A}$ condition are at the core of the obstructions for stability and $\mathcal{A}$-finiteness in Section 12.

We give minimal background on normal crossings, a more detailed account on the topic (including why normal crossing is a necessary condition for $\mathcal{A}$-stability) can be found in [13].

Definition 14. An unfolding $F = (t, f_t(x))\colon (\mathbb{C}^{r+n}, \{0\} \times S) \to (\mathbb{C}^{r+p}, 0)$ of a multigerm $f = f_0$ is called $\mathcal{A}$-trivial if it is $\mathcal{A}$-equivalent to $\mathrm{id}_{\mathbb{C}^r} \times f$ as an unfolding (that is, $\mathcal{A}$-equivalent via biholomorphisms which are in turn unfoldings of the identity maps on $(\mathbb{C}^n, 0)$ and $(\mathbb{C}^p, 0)$).

Definition 15. A multigerm $f\colon (\mathbb{C}^n, S) \to (\mathbb{C}^p, 0)$ is *$\mathcal{A}$-stable* if every unfolding of f is $\mathcal{A}$-trivial.

Definition 16. A map $f\colon X \to Y$ is $\mathcal{A}$-stable if, for all $y \in Y$, the multigerm of f at $f^{-1}(y)$ is $\mathcal{A}$-stable.

Definition 17. A multigerm f is *$\mathcal{A}$-finite* if, for a small enough representative $f\colon X \to Y$, the set of points $y \in Y$, such that the multigerm of f at $f^{-1}(y)$ is not $\mathcal{A}$-stable, has at most dimension zero.

Since no equivalence relation other than $\mathcal{A}$-equivalence appears, and we do not work with global stability, we say just stability instead of (local) $\mathcal{A}$-stability. Good references for stability and $\mathcal{A}$-finiteness are [13] [41] and [11].

Remark 6. In the literature, $\mathcal{A}$-finite maps are also called ($\mathcal{A}$-)finitely determined maps, or maps of finite ($\mathcal{A}$-)codimension.

Notation. For any set X, we write the small and big diagonals in X^k, respectively, as

$$\Delta(X,k) = \{(x^{(1)}, \ldots, x^{(k)}) \in X^k \mid x^{(i)} = x^{(j)}, \text{ for all } i,j \leq k\}$$

and

$$D(X,k) = \{(x^{(1)}, \ldots, x^{(k)}) \in X^k \mid x^{(i)} = x^{(j)}, \text{ for some } i \neq j \leq k\}.$$

We also write $\Delta X = \Delta(X,2)$ and $X^{(k)} = X^k \setminus D(X,k)$.

Definition 18. A map $h\colon X \to Y$ has *normal crossings* if, for any $k \geq 2$, the restriction of $h \times \cdots \times h$ to $X^{(k)}$ is transverse to $\Delta(Y,k)$. The definition extends to multigerms taking representatives.

We will need the following basic lemma:

Lemma 7.1. *Let $g\colon V \to V$ be a linear endomorphism of vector spaces and let ω be a g-invariant map $V \to Y$. For any $y \in V$ and any vector subspace W of V, we have $d\omega_x(W) = d\omega_{gx}(gW)$.*

Proof. If γ is a curve with $\gamma_i(0) = y$, with tangent vector $\gamma'(0) \in W$, then $g\gamma_i$ satisfies $g\gamma_i(0) = gy$ and $(g\gamma)'(0) \in gW$. If the tangent vectors of some curves γ_i span W, then the tangent vectors of $g\gamma_i$ span gW. Consequently, $d\omega_x(W)$ and $d\omega_{gx}(gW)$ are spanned by $(\omega\circ\gamma_i)'(0)$ and $(\omega\circ g\gamma_i)'(0)$, respectively. Since ω is g-invariant, we have $\omega\circ\gamma_i = \omega\circ g\gamma_i$, and the claim follows. □

Proposition 7.2. *The multigerms of ω with normal crossings are exactly:*

1. *monogerms at points $y \in C$, where $C \in \mathscr{C}$ is a facet of codimension ≤ 1,*
2. *multigerms at $(y, g_2y, \ldots, g_ky)$, with $y \notin \mathscr{A}$ and $g_2, \ldots, g_k$ different elements in $G \setminus \{1\}$.*

Proof. First we discuss the case of multigerms: For any $y \notin \mathscr{A}$, the orbit map ω is a submersion at y and g_iy, by Proposition 4.5, and thus ω^k is transverse to $\Delta(Y,k)$ at $(y, g_2y, \ldots, g_ky)$. To prove that these are the only multigerms with normal crossings, it suffices to show that the bigerm of ω at (y, gy) fails to have normal crossings, for any $y \in \mathscr{A}$. Let $y \in \mathscr{A}$, it follows from Proposition 4.5 that $d\omega_y$ has rank strictly smaller than p, and thus

$$T_{w(y)}\mathbb{C}^p = T \oplus K,$$

for $T = d\omega_y(T_y\mathbb{C}^p)$ and some non-trivial subspace $K \leq \mathbb{C}^p$. We have

$$T_{(w(y),w(y))}(\mathbb{C}^p \times \mathbb{C}^p) = T \oplus K \oplus T' \oplus K',$$

where T' and K' are just copies of T and K. From Lemma 7.1, we have

$$d\omega_y(T_y\mathbb{C}^p) + d\omega_{gy}(T_{gy}\mathbb{C}^p) = T \oplus 0 \oplus T' \oplus 0.$$

It is clear that

$$\dim(T_{w(y),w(y)}\Delta(\mathbb{C}^p, 2) \cap (0 \oplus K \oplus 0 \oplus K')) = \dim K.$$

Therefore, we have

$$\dim(d\omega_y(T_y\mathbb{C}^p) + d\omega_{gy}(T_{gy}\mathbb{C}^p) + T_{w(y),w(y)}\Delta(\mathbb{C}^p, 2)) = 2\dim T + \dim K < 2p,$$

and the claim follows.

Now we prove the claim for monogerms: If $y \in \mathbb{C}^p \setminus \mathscr{A}$, then ω is locally a biholomorphism, and has normal crossings trivially. We claim that on $\mathscr{A}$, the only other monogerms with normal crossings are centered at points contained in just one reflecting hyperplane. For any point y, any neighborhood U of y can be shrinked, so that the intersection of U with the orbit of any point $y' \in U$ is the orbit of y' by the stabilizer G_y of y. If y is contained in just one hyperplane H, then we may take U small enough to satisfy $\mathscr{A} \cap U = C \cap U$, for the facet C where y is contained. By Corollary 3.3, we have $G_{y'} = G_C = G_y$, for all $y' \in \mathscr{A} \cap U$, and hence the restriction of ω to $\mathscr{A} \cap U$ is one-to-one. Therefore, all possible multigerms to study around y are necessarily of the form (2) already checked to have the required transversality. Now assume y is contained in two different reflecting hyperplanes H_1, H_2 of reflections $r_1, r_2 \in G$. Let $y' \in U \cap H_1 \setminus H_2$. It follows from Theorem 3.2 that r_1 is contained in the stabilizer G_y, but does not fix y', and thus we have $y' \neq r_1y' \in U$. The discussion we made to show item (2) implies that (y', r_1y') does not satisfy the required transversality. □



FIGURE 7. Failure of the orbit normal crossings condition.

Now we study the conditions that an injective map h must satisfy in order to produce a (generalized) reflection map f with normal crossings. As we will see, ω is not involved in the computations, and the normal crossings condition can be decided just in terms of the translates $gh, g \in G$. The following two informal examples will provide the intuition:

Example 11. Let $h\colon X \to \mathbb{C}^2$ parametrize the two left non-dashed curves in Figure 7. We see that the resulting $Z_{2,2}$-reflection map f does not have normal crossings. However, there is no apparent failure of transversality when we look just at the image of h. The failure becomes clear when we also look at the dashed lines, which are the image of the translate of h by $i_{1,1} \in Z_{2,2}$.

Definition 19. A map $h\colon X \to \mathbb{C}^p$ has *orbit normal crossings* (by G) if, for any $k \geq 2$ and any tuple $(g_1, \dots, g_k) \in G^k$, the restriction to $X^{(k)}$ of $g_1 h \times \cdots \times g_k h$ is transverse to $\Delta(\mathbb{C}^p, k)$. The definition extends to germs by taking representatives.

Example 12. Now let $h\colon X \to \mathbb{C}^2$ parametrize the two lines in Figure 8. Again, we obtain a reflection map f where the normal crossings condition fails. However, h has orbit normal crossings, since any two translates cross transversally, and no more than two points are mapped to one by any choice of translates. The problem here is that $\operatorname{im} h$ passes through $\mathscr{A}$ at two points $h(x) = y, h(x') = i_{1,0}y$ in the same orbit. Observe that both the image of $d\omega_y$ and of $d\omega_{i_{1,0}y}$ are the subspace $\{(u_1, u_2) \in \mathbb{C}^2 \mid u_1 = 0\}$. Therefore the images of df_x and $df_{x'}$ cannot span the tangent of $\mathbb{C}^2$ (i.e. the transversality in the normal crossings condition for $k = 2$), regardless of what the differential of h is at x and x'.

Definition 20. A map $h\colon X \to \mathbb{C}^p$ is *one-to-orbit over* $\mathscr{A}$ (by G) if the restriction of $\omega \circ h$ to $h^{-1}(\mathscr{A})$ is one-to-one. The definition extends to germs by taking representatives.

Theorem 7.3. *A (generalized) reflection map f has normal crossings if and only if h has orbit normal crossings and is one-to-orbit over $\mathscr{A}$.*



FIGURE 8. Failure of the one-to-orbit over $\mathscr{A}$ condition.

Proof. If h is not one-to-orbit over $\mathscr{A}$, the proof of item (2) in Proposition 7.2 shows that f does not have normal crossings, because the required transversality already fails for ω. Therefore h being one-to-orbit over $\mathscr{A}$ is a necessary condition for f to have normal crossings. Moreover, the condition one-to-orbit over $\mathscr{A}$ also ensures that transversality to $\Delta(\mathbb{C}^p, k)$ is satisfied by $f \times \cdots \times f$ and by $g_1 h \times \dots g_k h$ at all points $(x, x^{(2)}, \dots, x^{(k)})$ with $h(x) \in \mathscr{A}$. This is because the condition ensures that the image (by any of the two maps) of such $(x, x^{(2)}, \dots, x^{(k)})$ cannot be contained in $\Delta(\mathbb{C}^p, k)$, and therefore transversality is met trivially.

This reduces the problem to check that the transversality conditions for f^k and for $g_1 h \times \dots g_k h$ are equivalent at points of the form $\underline{x} = (x, x^{(2)}, \dots, x^{(k)}) \in X^{(k)}$, such that $h(x) \notin \mathscr{A}$, and $h(x^{(i)}) = g_i h(x)$ for some $g_i \in G$. By Lemma 7.1, at such a point we have:

$$\begin{aligned}
(df^k)_{\underline{x}} T_{\underline{x}} X^k &= df_x(T_x X) \oplus df_{x^{(2)}}(T_{x^{(2)}} X) \oplus \cdots \oplus df_{x^{(k)}}(T_{x^{(k)}} X) \\
&= d\omega_{h(x)}(dh_x T_x X) \oplus d\omega_{g_2 h(x)}(dh_{x^{(2)}} T_{x^{(2)}} X) \oplus \cdots \oplus d\omega_{g_k h(x)}(dh_{x^{(k)}} T_{x^{(k)}} X) \\
&= d\omega_{h(x)}(dh_x T_x X) \oplus d\omega_{h(x)}(g_2^{-1}(dh_{x^{(2)}} T_{x^{(2)}} X)) \oplus \cdots \oplus d\omega_{h(x)}(g_k^{-1}(dh_{x^{(k)}} T_{x^{(k)}} X)) \\
&= (d\omega_{h(x)})^k \Big(dh_x T_x X \oplus d(g_2^{-1} h)_{x^{(2)}} T_{x^{(2)}} X \oplus \cdots \oplus d(g_k^{-1} h)_{x^{(k)}} T_{x^{(k)}} X \Big) \\
&= (d\omega_{h(x)})^k (d(h \times g_2^{-1} h \times \cdots \times g_k^{-1} h)_{\underline{x}} T_{\underline{x}} X^k).
\end{aligned}$$

Since $h(x) \notin \mathscr{A}$, it follows that ω is a local biholomorphism at $h(x)$, and therefore $(d\omega_{h(x)})^k$ is an isomorphism taking the tangent $T_{(h(x),\dots,h(x))}\Delta(Y,k)$ to the tangent $T_{f^k(\underline{x})}\Delta(Y,k)$. Therefore, we have

$$(df^k)_{\underline{x}} T_{\underline{x}} X^k + T_{f^k(\underline{x})}\Delta(Y,k) = (d\omega_{h(x)})^k \Big(d(h \times g_2^{-1} h \times \cdots \times g_k^{-1} h)_{\underline{x}} T_{\underline{x}} X^k + T_{(h(x),\dots,h(x))}\Delta(Y,k) \Big),$$

and the claim follows. □

Corollary 7.4. *All multigerms (monogerms excluded) of reflection maps with normal crossings have only smooth branches.*



FIGURE 9. Y, gY and $\mathscr{A} \setminus \operatorname{Fix} g$, for $Y = \operatorname{im} h$ and $g = i_{10}, i_{01}$ and i_{11}, respectively. Looking at these subsets we can tell whether or not h is one-to-orbit over $\mathcal{A}$ (see Example 13)

Proof. Assume $f\colon (\mathbb{C}^n, S) \to (\mathbb{C}^p, y)$ has normal crossings. Since S has more that two elements, the one-to-orbit over $\mathscr{A}$ condition implies $h(S) \subset \mathbb{C}^p \setminus \mathscr{A}$. The statement follows because h is an immersion, and ω is locally immersive around $h(S)$. □

We have defined the one-to-orbit over $\mathscr{A}$ condition in terms of $h \circ \omega$, but we said that it was possible to tell if a (generalized) reflection map f has normal crossings just by looking at the translates $gh, g \in G$. The following immediate result supports the claim:

Lemma 7.5. *Let $Y \subseteq \mathbb{C}^p$ be the image of $h\colon X \to \mathbb{C}^p$. Then, the following conditions are equivalent:*

(1) *h is one-to-orbit over $\mathscr{A}$,*
(2) *The restriction of h to $h^{-1}(\mathscr{A})$ is injective and, for all $g \in G \setminus \{1\}$, we have*

$$Y \cap gY \cap \mathscr{A} \subseteq \operatorname{Fix} g.$$

Proof. Analogous to the one in Lemma 6.1. □

Example 13. Let h be as in Example 12 and $Y = \operatorname{im} h$. For $g = i_{10}$ and $g = i_{11}$, we have $Y \cap gY \cap (\mathscr{A} \setminus \operatorname{Fix} g) \neq \emptyset$, so h is not one-to-orbit over $\mathscr{A}$ (see Figure 9). Is not by chance that the condition fails for two elements of the group: if the one-to-orbit over $\mathscr{A}$ condition fails for g at y —that is, if $y \in Y \cap gY \cap (\mathscr{A} \setminus \operatorname{Fix} g)$— then the condition fails for $g'g$ at y as well, for every $g' \in G_y$.

From this description of the one-to-orbit over $\mathscr{A}$ condition, we obtain the following obstruction for a reflection map to have normal crossings:

Proposition 7.6. *There is no germ of (generalized) reflection map $f\colon (\mathbb{C}^n, 0) \to (\mathbb{C}^p, 0)$ with normal crossings and $\operatorname{rank} G > 2(p-n)+1$.*

Proof. It suffices to show that h is not one-to-orbit over $\mathscr{A}$. Let $Y = \operatorname{im} h$. The set $Y \cap gY \cap \mathscr{A}$ is not empty, because it contains the origin. Therefore, $Y \cap gY \cap \mathscr{A}$ has dimension at least $2n - p - 1$. If we let $\operatorname{rank} G = r$, then the facet $C \in \mathscr{C}$ containing the origin has dimension $p - r$. By Proposition 3.1, there exists $g \in G$, such that $C = Fix\ g$. If $r > 2(p-n)+1$, then $p - r < p - (2(p-n)+1) = 2n - p - 1$. Hence, $Y \cap gY \cap \mathscr{A}$ is not contained in Fix g, and the claim follows from Lemma 7.5. □

Remark 7. The previous proposition imposes no restrictions when $p \geq 2n-1$. On the opposite side, it says that only groups with $\operatorname{rank} G = 1$ can produce reflection maps $(\mathbb{C}^p, 0) \to (\mathbb{C}^p, 0)$. In particular, any orbit map ω is a reflection map $(\mathbb{C}^p, 0) \to (\mathbb{C}^p, 0)$, and the requirement $\operatorname{rank} G = 1$ is consistent with the description of its normal crossings (Proposition 7.2), because it implies that there is no facet of codimension ≤ 1.

With the results we have so far, and the help of the following classical theorem (essentially due to Whitney [42], see [13] for a more up-to-date statement), we can characterize stability of reflection maps $f\colon X \to \mathbb{C}^{2n}$, with $n = \dim X$.

Theorem 7.7. *A map $f\colon X \to Y$, with $\dim Y = 2\dim X$, is stable if and only if it is an immersion with normal crossings.*

Corollary 7.8. *A reflection map $f\colon X \to \mathbb{C}^{2n}$, with $n = \dim X$, is stable if and only if it satisfies:*

1. *h has orbit normal crossings,*
2. *$Y \cap gY \cap \mathscr{A} \subseteq \operatorname{Fix} g$, for all $g \in G \setminus \{1\}$,*
3. *$\operatorname{im} dh_x \cap C^\perp = 0$, for all $x \in X$ and $h(x) \in C \in \mathscr{C}$.*

Corollary 7.9. *A germ of reflection map $f\colon (\mathbb{C}^n, 0) \to (\mathbb{C}^{2n}, 0)$ is $\mathcal{A}$-finite if and only if h satisfies (1) and (2) above, and the following equivalent conditions:*

(3a) *$\operatorname{im} dh_x \cap C^\perp = 0$, for all $0 \neq x \in \mathbb{C}^n$, and $h(x) \in C \in \mathscr{C}$.*

(3b) *$\operatorname{im} dh_0 \cap C'^\perp = 0$, for all facets C' which have non-empty intersection with $\operatorname{im} h$ and are different from the facet C passing through the origin; and $\operatorname{im} dh_x \cap C^\perp = 0$, for all $0 \neq x$ with $h(x) \in C$.*

Proof. It is clear that $(1), (2)$ and $(3a)$ characterize $\mathcal{A}$-finiteness. The equivalence between $(3a)$ and $(3b)$ follows from the fact that transversality is an open condition. If it is satisfied at 0, then it is satisfied at a small open neighborhood of the origin. □

8. The double-point space $D^2(\omega)$

In dimensions $n < p < 2n$, immersivity and normal crossings are not enough to determine stability and $\mathcal{A}$-finiteness and some more sophisticated machinery has to be introduced. For curvilinear maps $f\colon X \to Y$, stability is characterized by the condition of having smooth multiple-point schemes $D^k(f)$ of the *expected dimension* $kn - (k-1)p$ (see [23] for this result and the definitions of the spaces $D^k(f)$ of corank 1 map-germs). We are far from having an equivalent result in the presence of corank ≥ 2 points: there is no algebraically satisfactory description of the schemes $D^k(f), k \geq 3$, for germs of corank ≥ 2, and the scheme $D^2(f)$ of any stable germ of corank ≥ 2 is singular (see [32] or [35]).

For reflection maps, however, we only need to deal with double points, and we do it by means of a different double-point scheme denoted by $B^2(f)$. Before this, we introduce the double-point scheme $D^2(f)$, as defined in [27], and describe the scheme $D^2(\omega)$ of an orbit map.

Given $f\colon X \to Y$, let $U \subseteq X$ and $V \subseteq Y$ be coordinate neighborhoods with coordinates $x = x_1, \ldots, x_n$ and $y = y_1, \ldots, y_p$, respectively. Since the functions $f_j(x') - f_j(x)$, $j = 1, \ldots, p$ vanish on $\{x = x'\}$, we can (after possibly shrinking U) find functions $\alpha_{ij} \in \mathcal{O}_{U\times U}$, such that $f_j(x') - f_j(x) = \sum \alpha_{ji}(x, x')(x'_i - x_i)$. In other words, we can find a $p \times n$ matrix α, with entries in $\mathcal{O}_{U\times U}$, satisfying the equation

$$f(x') - f(x) = \alpha(x, x') \cdot (x' - x). \tag{†}$$

Definition 21. Given $f\colon X \to Y$, the double-point ideal sheaf $\mathscr{I}^2(f)$ in $\mathcal{O}_{X\times X}$ is defined as follows: Away from the diagonal, we set

$$\mathscr{I}^2(f) = (f \times f)^* \mathscr{I}_{\Delta(Y,2)},$$

where $\mathscr{I}_{\Delta(Y,2)}$ is the ideal sheaf defining the diagonal of Y. Around diagonal points, $\mathscr{I}^2(f)$ is given by the sum

$$\mathscr{I}^2(f) = (f \times f)^* \mathscr{I}_{\Delta(Y,2)} + \langle n \times n \text{ minors of } \alpha \rangle,$$

for any matrix α satisfying (†). The *double-point space* $D^2(f)$ is the complex subspace of $X \times X$ cut out by $\mathscr{I}^2(f)$.

Proposition 8.1. *For any $f\colon X \to Y$, with $n = \dim X$ and $p = \dim Y$, the following hold:*

(1) *As a set, $D^2(f)$ consists of strict double points*

$$(x, x') \in X \times X, \text{ with } x' \neq x \text{ and } f(x') = f(x);$$

and singular points

$$(x, x) \in \Delta X, \text{ such that } f \text{ is singular at } x.$$

(2) *If $D^2(f)$ is non-empty, then it has dimension $\geq 2n - p$. If $\dim D^2(f) = 2n - p$, then $D^2(f)$ is a Cohen-Macaulay space.*
(3) *If f is stable, then $D^2(f)$ is the closure of the strict double points of f.*

Definition 22. For any $g \in G \setminus \{1\}$, we write $D_g := \{(y, gy) \in \mathbb{C}^p \times \mathbb{C}^p\}$.

Theorem 8.2. *The double-point space $D^2(\omega)$ of the orbit map is a reduced complex space, with irreducible decomposition*

$$D^2(\omega) = \bigcup_{g \in G\setminus\{1\}} D_g.$$

Proof. As a set, $D^2(f)$ contains the points $(x, x'), x \neq x', \omega(x) = \omega(x')$ and the points (x, x), with ω singular at x. Therefore, the set-theoretical equality follows immediately from Proposition 4.5 and Theorem 4.2. Now it suffices to prove that $B^2(\omega)$ is reduced. The equality as sets implies that the dimension of $D^2(\omega)$ is n, and thus the space is Cohen-Macaulay. Therefore, it suffices to show that $D^2(\omega)$ is generically reduced. In particular, it suffices to show that $D^2(\omega)$ is reduced at all points $(x, x') \in D^2(\omega)$ with $x \in \mathbb{C}^n \setminus \mathscr{A}$. For such a point, ω is not singular at x, and thus x' is not equal to x, and $\mathscr{I}^2(f)$ is generated locally by the coordinate functions of $s(x, x') = \omega(x) - \omega(x')$. Since $ds_{(x,x')}(T_{(x,x')}\mathbb{C}^{2n}) = d\omega_x(T_x\mathbb{C}^n) + d\omega_{x'}(T_{x'}\mathbb{C}^n)$ and ω is a submersion, we obtain that s is a submersion, and thus $D^2(\omega)$ is locally a regular complex space. □

Example 14. The double-point space of the orbit map of $Z_{m_1,\dots,m_p}$ (Example 5) decomposes into the branches

$$D_a := D_{i_a} = \{(y, y') \in \mathbb{C}^p \times \mathbb{C}^p \mid y'_j = e^{\frac{2\pi i}{m_j} a_j} y_j\}.$$

The case of the Folded Hankerchief is depicted in Figure 10.

It is not clear how to obtain a scheme-theoretical description of the double-point space $D^2(f)$ of a reflection map from $D^2(\omega)$. This involves infinitesimal information of h in relation to the complex $\mathscr{C}$ of G, and this information is not clearly encoded in $D^2(\omega)$. We will overcome this problem with the introduction the double-point space $B^2(f)$.



FIGURE 10. Decomposition of the double-point space $D^2(\omega)$ of the Folded Hankerchief. The first row corresponds to strict double points, the second one to singular points.

9. Double points in a blowup

We describe the double-point space $B^2(f)$ of a map $f\colon X \to Y$. This space was first introduced by Ronga [36], and has been studied since then by Laksov [20], Kleiman [18] and others. Proofs of the properties in this section can be obtained from these references, and found as stated here in [35]. The main idea is that the spaces $B^2(f)$ and $D^2(f)$ are isomorphic for curvilinear maps, but $B^2(f)$ behaves better in the presence of points of corank ≥ 2.

Notation. If g is a vector whose entries are sections in $\mathcal{O}_Z$, for some complex space Z, we write $\langle g \rangle$ for the ideal sheaf generated by the entries of g.

If the size of g is s, we write $g \wedge u$ for the vector in $\mathcal{O}_{Z\times \mathbf{P}^{s-1}}$, whose entries are $u_i g_j - u_j g_i$. This way, the subspace of $Z \times \mathbf{P}^{s-1}$, consiting of points (z, u) such that $h(z)$ is linearly dependent of (a representative of) u is cut out by $\langle u \wedge g \rangle$.

Remark 8. Any subspace of $X \times \mathbf{P}^{s-1}$, is covered by its intersection with the open affine sets

$$U_i = \{(x, x', u) \in X \times \mathbf{P}^{s-1} \mid u_i \neq 0\}.$$

On U_i, the ideal sheaf $u \wedge g$ is generated by $s-1$ holomorphic functions

$$g_j u_i - g_i u_j,\ j \neq i.$$

Let L be a homogeneous function vanishing on $u \in \mathbf{P}^{s-1}$, for some $(z, u) \in Z \times \mathbf{P}^{s-1}$, with $u \wedge g(z) = 0$. Then L vanishes on $g(z)$ as well.

We will need the following well known properties about blowups along closed smooth subspaces.

Lemma 9.1. *Let Y ba a codimension s closed smooth subspace of a complex manifold Z, whose ideal sheaf is generated by $g = (g_1, \dots, g_s)$. The blowup of Z along Y can be realized as*

$$Bl_Y Z = \{(z, u) \in Z \times \mathbf{P}^{s-1} \mid u \wedge g = 0\},$$

with structure map $Bl_Y Z \to Z$ given by $(z, u) \mapsto z$.

Lemma 9.2. *If $Y_1, Y_2 \subseteq Z$ are closed subspaces, and no irreducible component of Y_2 is contained in Y_1, then $Bl_{Y_1 \cap Y_2} Y_2$ can be realized as the strict transform of Y_2 in $Bl_{Y_1} Z$.*

Definition 23. Given a complex manifold X, we write

$$b\colon B^2(X) \to X \times X$$

for the blowup of $X \times X$ along the diagonal ΔX. We denote the exceptional divisor by

$$E = b^{-1}(\Delta X).$$

Example 15. By Lemma 9.1, we have

$$B^2(\mathbb{C}^p) = \{(x, x', u) \in \mathbb{C}^p \times \mathbb{C}^p \times \mathbf{P}^{n-1} \mid u \wedge (x' - x) = 0\}.$$

Definition 24. Given $f\colon X \to Y$, the ideal sheaf $\mathscr{H}^2(f)$ in $\mathcal{O}_{B^2(X)}$ is defined as follows: Away from E, we set

$$\mathscr{H}^2(f) := b^* \mathscr{I}^2(f).$$

Locally at E, the ideal sheaf is given by

$$\mathscr{H}^2(f) := \langle \alpha(x, x') u \rangle.$$

for any matrix α satisfying equation (†). The *double-point space* $B^2(f)$ is the subspace of $B^2(X)$ cut out by $\mathscr{H}^2(f)$.

Proposition 9.3. *For any $f\colon X \to Y$, with $n = \dim X$ and $p = \dim Y$, the following hold:*

(1) *As a set, $B^2(f)$ consists of strict double points*

$$z = b^{-1}(x, x'), \text{ for some } (x, x') \in X \times X, \text{ with } x' \neq x \text{ and } f(x') = f(x),$$

and singular points

$$(x, x, u) \in E, \text{ such that } u \in \ker df_x.$$

(2) *If $B^2(f)$ is non-empty, then it has dimension $\geq 2n - p$. If $\dim B^2(f) = 2n - p$, then $B^2(f)$ is locally a complete intersection.*

(3) *The structure map $B^2(X) \to X \times X$ restricts to a morphism of complex spaces*

$$b\colon B^2(f) \to D^2(f).$$

This map is proper and surjective, and it is an isomorphism away from the preimage of

$$\{(x, x) \in X \times X \mid \operatorname{corank} f_x \geq 2\}.$$

(4) *If f is stable, then $b\colon B^2(f) \to D^2(f)$ is a resolution, and $B^2(f)$ is the closure of its strict double points. In particular, $B^2(f)$ is smooth of dimension $2n - p$.*

Lemma 9.4. *Given $f_1\colon X \to Y_1$ and $f_2\colon X \to Y_2$, let $F\colon X \to Y_1 \times Y_2$ be given by $F(x) = (f_1(x), f_2(x))$. Then $B^2(F) = B^2(f_1) \cap B^2(f_2)$.*

Proof. This follows from the description of $\mathscr{H}^2(f)$, that yields one generator for every coordinate function of f. □

10. The double-point space $B^2(\omega)$

We obtain a decomposition of $B^2(\omega)$, indexed by the non-trivial elements $g \in G\backslash\{1\}$, analogous to the one for $D^2(\omega)$ in Section 8. We also describe the singularity locus S_G of $B^2(\omega)$, which will be crucial in Section 12.

Definition 25. For any element $g \neq 1$ of a reflection group G, we write

$$b_g \colon B_g \to D_g$$

for the blowup of D_g along

$$D_g \cap \Delta X = \{(y, y) \in \mathbb{C}^p \times \mathbb{C}^p \mid y \in \operatorname{Fix} g\}.$$

Proposition 10.1. (1) *For all $g \in G \setminus \{1\}$, the space B_g is smooth.*
(2) *The structure map $b_g \colon B_g \to D_g$ is an isomorphism if and only if g is a reflection.*
(3) *B_g embeds in $B^2(\mathbb{C}^p)$ as the strict transform of D_g, which is precisely the set of points $(y, gy, [y - gy]) \in B_2(\mathbb{C}^p), y \notin \operatorname{Fix} g$ and points $(y, y, u) \in B^2(\mathbb{C}^p)$, with $y \in \operatorname{Fix} g$ and $u \in \mathbf{P}((\operatorname{Fix} g)^{\perp})$.*

Proof. Item (1) follows because B_g is the blowup of a regular space along a smooth closed subspace.

Item (2) follows from the universal property of the blowup, because reflections are —by definition— the only finite order linear transformations g, such that $D_g \cap \Delta\mathbb{C}^n$ is a hyperplane (and thus a Cartier divisor).

For item (3) observe that D_g is not contained in ΔX, and then $B^2(\mathbb{C}^p)$ can be seen as the strict transform of D_g, by Lemma 9.2. □

Definition 26. We write $\mathscr{H}_g$ for the ideal sheaf defining B_g in $B^2(\mathbb{C}^p)$.

Proposition 10.2. *Let $L_1, \dots, L_p$ be independent linear forms $\mathbb{C}^p \to \mathbb{C}$ such that*

$$\operatorname{Fix} g = \{y \in \mathbb{C}^p \mid L_1(y) = \cdots = L_r(y) = 0\}.$$

The sheaf $\mathscr{H}_g$ is generated by the global sections

$$L_1(gy - y'), \dots, L_r(gy - y'), L_{r+1}(v), \dots, L_n(v).$$

Proof. Immediate from construction of the blowup (see Lemma 9.1). □

Corollary 10.3. *With the previous hypothesis, $\mathscr{H}_g$ is generated by*

$$\langle y' - gy \rangle,$$

and the functions

$$L_{r+1}(v), \dots, L_n(v).$$

Proof. Follows immediately from Remark 8. □

Example 16. Let $i_a \in Z_{m_1,\dots,m_r} \setminus \{1\}$ (Example 3) and write $\xi_j = e^{\frac{2\pi i}{m_j} a_j}$. The space $B_a := B_{i_a}$ consists of the points $(y, y', u) \in \mathbb{C}^p \times \mathbb{C}^p \times \mathbf{P}^{p-1}$, satisfying

(1) $y'_i = \xi_i y_i$, for $i = 1, \dots, p$,
(2') $v \wedge ((\xi_1 - 1)y_1, \dots, (\xi_p - 1)y_p) = 0$,



FIGURE 11. Decomposition of the double-point space $B^2(\omega)$ of the Folded Hankerchief. Observe that $B^2(\omega)$ and $D^2(\omega)$ (Figure 10) fail to be isomorphic precisely at diagonal points of corank ≥ 2 (see item 3 of Proposition 9.3).

(3) $v_i = 0$, for all i such that $\xi_i = 1$.

By condition (3), it is clear that $B_a \cap U_i = \emptyset$, for all $\xi_i = 1$. On each U_i with $\xi_i \neq 1$, condition (2') can be replaced by

(2) $(\xi_i - 1)y_i v_j = (\xi_j - 1)y_j v_i$, for all $j \neq i$ such that $\xi_j \neq 1$.

This follows from Remark 8 and the fact that, subject to the equations (3), the equations of the form (2') for $j \neq i$ and $a_j = 0$ are superfluous. There are exactly $2p - 1$ conditions in (1), (2) and (3), and hence they give a regular sequence defining B_a in U_i.

Example 17. For the group $Z_{2,2}$, we have the following spaces (Figure 11):

$B_{1,0}$ is given by (1) $y_1' = -y_i$ and $y_2' = y_2$ and (3) $v_2 = 0$, that is,

$$B_{1,0} = \{((y_1, y_2), (-y_1, y_2), (1 : 0)) \mid (y_1, y_2) \in \mathbb{C}^2\}.$$

Observe that no conditions of the form (2) appear, because there is only one nonzero index in $(1, 0)$. Analogously,

$$B_{0,1} = \{((y_1, y_2), (y_1, -y_2), (0 : 1)) \mid (y_1, y_2) \in \mathbb{C}^2\}.$$

$B_{1,1}$ is given by (1) $y_1' = -y_1$ and $y_1' = -y_1$ and (2) $y_1 v_2 = y_2 v_1$, that is,

$$B_{1,1} = \{((y_1, y_2), (-y_1, -y_2), (v_1 : v_2)) \mid y_1 v_2 = y_2 v_1,\ (y_1, y_2) \in \mathbb{C}^2\}.$$

Theorem 10.4. *The double-point space $B^2(\omega)$ of the orbit map is a reduced complex space, with irreducible decomposition*

$$B^2(\omega) = \bigcup_{g \in G \setminus \{1\}} B_g.$$

Proof. As in Theorem 8.2, we show the equality as sets first: Write $X = \bigcup_{g \in G \setminus \{1\}} B_g$. From Lemma 4.2 follows that $B^2(\omega)$ and X agree away from the exceptional divisor. Thus, $X \subseteq B^2(\omega)$ follows from Lemma 3, because X is a strict transform. For the other inclusion, we need to show that for every vector v in the kernel of $d\omega_x$, there exists an element g of the group which fixes x and such that v is normal to Fix g. This follows directly from Proposition 3.1 and Lemma 4.6.

Now that the claim is shown set-theoretically, it suffices to prove that $B^2(\omega)$ is reduced. The equality as sets also implies that the dimension of $B^2(\omega)$ is n, and thus the space is a locally complete intersection by Proposition 9.3, item 2. Therefore, it suffices to show that $B^2(\omega)$ is generically reduced. In particular, it suffices to show that $B^2(\omega)$ is reduced at all points $(x, x', v) \in B^2(\omega)$ with $x \in \mathbb{C}^n \setminus \mathscr{A}$. At such a point $B^2(f)$ and $D^2(f)$ are locally isomorphic, and thus the claim follows from Theorem 8.2. □

The following space will be crucial to describe stability and $\mathcal{A}$-finiteness of reflection maps:

Definition 27. For any reflection group G, we write the singular locus of $B^2(\omega)$ as

$$S_G := \operatorname{Sing}(B^2(\omega)) \subseteq B^2(\mathbb{C}^p).$$

Proposition 10.5. *The space S_G is the union of all intersections $B_g \cap B_{g'}$, with $g, g' \in G \setminus \{1\}$, $g' \neq g$. It consists of the points*

1. *$(y, gy, [y - gy])$, with $y \in \mathscr{A} \setminus \operatorname{Fix} g$,*
2. *(y, y, v), $y \in \operatorname{Fix} g \cap \operatorname{Fix} g'$, $v \in (\operatorname{Fix} g)^\perp \cap (\operatorname{Fix} g')^\perp$, with $g, g' \in G \setminus \{1\}$, $g' \neq g$.*

Proof. The first statement follows simply because $B^2(\omega)$ is the union of the smooth spaces B_g (Proposition 10.1, item 1). To show the second statement, take $y \in \mathbb{C}^p$. If $y \notin \mathscr{A}$ then only g takes y to gy, because the pointwise stabilizer of G at y is trivial (Corollary 3.3, item 1). In the case $y \in \operatorname{Fix} g$ there is not much to prove, since (2) is just the expression of a point $(y, y, v) \in B_g \cap B_{g'}$. If $y \in \mathscr{A} \setminus \operatorname{Fix} g$, then the pointwise stabilizer of G at y is not trivial, so there is some $g' \neq g$, such that $g'y = gy$. Therefore, we have $(y, gy, [y - gy]) \in B_g \cap B_{g'}$. □

Corollary 10.6. *The space S_G contains the points*

1. *(y, y, v), with $y \in C_1, v \in {C_2}^\perp$, for two different facets $C_1, C_2 \in \mathscr{C}$, satisfying $C_1 \subseteq \langle C_2 \rangle$.*
2. *(y, y, v), with $x \in H$, $v \in H^\perp$, where H is the reflecting hyperplane of any reflection $r \in G$ of order ≥ 3.*

Proof. For item (1), take $g_1, g_2 \in G$ with $\operatorname{Fix} g_i = \langle C_i \rangle$. For item (2), take r and r^2. The result follows because r^2 is also a reflection with reflecting hyperplane H. □

11. Double points of reflection maps

In this section we show how to compute the double-point space $B^2(f)$ of a reflection map from the space $B^2(\omega)$ of the orbit map.

Lemma 11.1. *Every embedding $h\colon X \hookrightarrow Y$ induces an embedding $\widetilde{h}\colon B^2(X) \hookrightarrow B^2(\mathbb{C}^p)$ given as follows: Away from the exceptional divisor E_X, set*

$$\widetilde{h} = {b_Y}^{-1} \circ (h \times h) \circ b_X,$$

where b_X and b_Y are the structure maps of the corresponding blowups. Locally around E_X, $\widetilde{h}$ is given by

$$(x, x, u) \mapsto (h(x), h(x), \alpha(x, x') \cdot u),$$

for any matrix α satisfying (†) for h.

Proof. First observe that the definition makes sense both on E_X and away from E_X. Since h is injective, points away from E_X cannot be mapped to points in E_Y by $(h \times h) \circ b_X$, and thus the map ${b_Y}^{-1}$ is well defined locally. For points $(x, x, u) \in E_X$, we need to check $\alpha(x, x') \cdot u \neq 0$ (otherwise $\alpha(x, x') \cdot u$ cannot be seen as a point in the projectivization of $T_{h(x)}Y$), and this holds because $\alpha(x, x) = dh_x$ and h is an embedding. To see that the two constructions glue well, observe that at points $(x, x'), x \neq x'$ close to ΔX, the vanishing of $f(x)-f(x') = \alpha(x, x')\cdot(x'-x)$ generates the same ideal as the vanishing of $\alpha(x, x') \cdot u$, where $u = [x' - x] \in \mathbf{P}^{n-1}$. □

Proposition 11.2. *Let $f = F \circ h\colon X \to Z$, for some embedding $h\colon X \hookrightarrow Y$ and some map $F\colon Y \to Z$. Then*

$$B^2(f) = \widetilde{h}^{-1}(B^2(F)).$$

Proof. Away from the diagonal the statement is obvious. Around diagonal points, let $h(x) - h(x') = \alpha(x, x')(x - x')$ and $F(y) - F(y') = \beta(y, y')(y - y')$. Observe that the construction satisfies the chain rule

$$f(x) - f(x') = \beta(h(x), h(x')) \cdot (\alpha(x, x')(x - x')).$$

Since $\widetilde{h}$ is given by $(x, x', u) \mapsto (h(x), h(x'), \alpha(x - x') \cdot u)$, the equality $\mathscr{H}^2(f) = \widetilde{h}^*(\mathscr{H}^2(F))$ follows by construction. □

Definition 28. For any $g \in G \setminus \{1\}$ and any embedding $h\colon X \to \mathbb{C}^p$, we write

$$B_g(h) = \widetilde{h}^{-1}(B_g)$$

and

$$\mathscr{H}_g(h) = (\widetilde{h})^* \mathscr{H}_g.$$

Consequently, for any reflection map f, we get

$$B^2(f) = \bigcup_{g \in G \setminus \{1\}} B_g(h).$$

Example 18. Let $h\colon \mathbb{C}^n \to \mathbb{C}^p$ be an injective linear map, and let $L_1, \ldots, L_n$ be independent linear forms on $\mathbb{C}^p$, such that

$$\text{Fix } g = \{x \in \mathbb{C}^n \mid L_1(x) = \cdots = L_r(x) = 0\}.$$

From Proposition 10.2, it follows that the sheaf $\mathscr{H}_g(h)$ is generated by

$$L_1(gh(x) - h(x')), \ldots, L_r(gh(x) - h(x')),$$

and

$$L_{r+1}(h(u)), \ldots, L_p(h(u)).$$

Equivalently, from Corollary 10.3, it follows that $B_g(h)$ is given by

$$h(x') = gh(x)$$

and

$$L_{r+1}(h(u)) = 0, \dots, L_n(h(u)) = 0.$$

Example 19. Let $f = (\omega, H)\colon \mathbb{C}^n \to \mathbb{C}^{n+r}$ be a reflected graph, then from Lemma 9.4 follows

$$B_g(h) = B_g \cap B^2(H).$$

As a set, $B_g(h)$ consists of strict double points

$$(x, gx, [gx - x]), x \notin \operatorname{Fix} g, H(gx) = H(x),$$

and singular points

$$(x, x, u) \in E, x \in \operatorname{Fix} g, u \in \mathbf{P}(\ker dH_x \cap (\operatorname{Fix} g)^\perp).$$

12. Obstructions to $\mathcal{A}$-finiteness

We obtain obstructions to stability and $\mathcal{A}$-finiteness of reflection maps. The results are obtained by putting together the one-to-orbit over $\mathscr{A}$ condition (Definition 20), the decomposition of $B^2(f)$ into the branches $B_g(h)$, and the description of the singular locus S_G of $B^2(\omega)$ at the end of Section 10.

Lemma 12.1. *For any stable reflection map $f\colon X^n \to \mathbb{C}^p$, the spaces $B_g(h)$ are disjoint smooth spaces of dimension $2n - p$.*

Proof. Since $B^2(f)$ is smooth by Proposition 9.3, item 4, and $B^2(f) = \bigcup B_g(h)$, it suffices to show that any two different branches $B_g(f)$ and $B_{g'}(f)$ cannot have an irreducible component in common. Assume there is one such component Z. Again by item 4 of Proposition 9.3, Z contains a strict point of the form $b^{-1}(x, x')$, with $h(x') = gh(x) = g'h(x) \neq h(x)$. This cannot happen if $h(x) \notin \mathscr{A}$, because the pointwise stabilizer of G at $h(x)$ is trivial (Corollary 3.3, item 1). On the other hand, $h(x) \in \mathscr{A}$ implies that f is not one-to-orbit over $\mathscr{A}$, and thus f does not have normal crossings, and hence stability fails. □

Lemma 12.2. *If a reflection map f is stable, then $\widetilde{h}^{-1}(S_G) = \emptyset$.*

Proof. This follows immediately from the previous Lemma 12.1, because $B_g(h) = \widetilde{h}^{-1}(B_g)$, and S_G is union of all intersections of the form $B_g \cap B_{g'}$ (Proposition 10.5). □

Theorem 12.3. *There is no stable germ of reflection map of corank ≥ 2, and all stable essential reflection maps of corank one are fold maps.*

Proof. Let $f\colon (\mathbb{C}^n, 0) \to (\mathbb{C}^p, 0)$ be a corank 2 germ of reflection map, or a singular germ of Z_m-reflection map, with $m \geq 3$. By Lemma 12.2, it suffices to show that $\widetilde{h}^{-1}(S_G)$ is not empty. Let $TY = \operatorname{im} dh_0$ and let $C_1 \in \mathscr{C}$ be the facet passing through the origin. Since f is singular, then intersection of TY and C_1 is not trivial, and the case of corank 1 follows from Corollary 10.6, item 2. The corank 2 hypothesis implies $\dim(TY \cap {C_1}^\perp) \geq 2$. Since the codimension of C_1 is at least 2, there is a facet C_2 with $\dim C_2 = \dim C_1 + 1$ and $C_1 \subseteq \langle C_2 \rangle$. This implies $\dim(TY \cap {C_2}^\perp) \geq 1$. For any $v \in (TY \cap {C_2}^\perp)$, we have a point $(0, 0, v) \in \operatorname{im} \widetilde{h} \cap S_G$, by Corollary 10.6, item 1. □

Theorem 12.4. *For $p < 2n - 1$, there is no $\mathcal{A}$-finite reflection map-germ $f\colon (\mathbb{C}^n, 0) \to (\mathbb{C}^p, 0)$ of* corank $f \geq 2$*, and all $\mathcal{A}$-finite essential reflection maps of corank one are fold maps.*

Proof. Let $\pi\colon B^2(f) \to X$ be the composition of $b\colon B^2(f) \to D^2(f)$ and the first projection $X \times X \to X$. If $\pi(h^{-1}(S_G))$ has dimension greater than 0, then the locus where f is unstable is not issolated, and hence f is not $\mathcal{A}$-finite. Assume that there is a counter example f to the statement.

If f is a corank one germ of Z_m-reflection map, with $m \geq 3$, then $\widetilde{h}^{-1}(S_G) \neq \emptyset$ by Corollary 10.6, item 2, and thus $\widetilde{h}^{-1}(S_G)$ has dimension $\geq 2n - (p+1) > 0$. The result follows because π is finite for curvilinear maps.

If f is a germ of reflection map with corank $f \geq 2$ the argument is more subtle, because π is not finite. The hypothesis on the corank implies that the intersection of $TY = \operatorname{im} dh_0$ with the facet passing through the origin has dimension ≥ 2. Since $1 \leq 2n - p$, there is a facet $C \in \mathscr{C}$, not passing through the origin, and such that $1 \leq \dim(TY \cap C^\perp) \leq 2n - p$. Take $g \in G$, such that $\operatorname{Fix} g = \langle C \rangle$. On one hand, $1 \leq \dim(TY \cap C^\perp)$ implies that $B_g(h)$ is not empty, and hence it has dimension at least $2n - p$, because it is the preimage by $\widetilde{h}$ of B_g, that has codimension p. On the other hand, since $\dim B_g(h) \geq 2n - p$, the condition $\dim(TY \cap C^\perp) \leq 2n - p$ implies that $B_g(h)$ cannot be contained in the fiber of the origin. Since f is stable away from the origin, it follows from item 4 of Proposition 9.3 that $B_g(h)$ contains a component Z, having dimension $2n - p$ and consisting generically on strict double points.

Let $Z' := \pi(Z) \subseteq X$. Since f is finite, only finitely many strict double points can be mapped to the same point in Z', and hence $\dim Z' = 2n - p$. Since C does not contain the origin, $\mathscr{A} \setminus C$ does so, and thus $h^{-1}(\mathscr{A} \setminus C)$ is a locally analytic subspace of codimension at most 1 passing through the origin. Since $\dim Z' = 2n - p$, the space $W = Z' \cap h^{-1}(\mathscr{A} \setminus C)$ has dimension at least 1. We claim that all points in Z mapped to W are contained in $\widetilde{h}^{-1}(S_G)$. The claim implies $\pi(h^{-1}(S_G))$ has dimension greater than 0, and hence contradicts the stability of f away from the origin.

To show the claim, take any point $z \in Z$, mapping to a point $x \in X$ with $h(x) \in \mathscr{A} \setminus C$. If $h(x) \notin \operatorname{Fix} g$, then $\widetilde{h}(z)$ is a point of the form $(y, gy, [gy - y])$, contained in S_G by Proposition 10.5. If $h(x) \in \operatorname{Fix} g$, then $\widetilde{h}(z) = (y, y, v)$ with $y = h(x)$ and $v \in C^\perp$. Since $y = h(x) \notin C$, there is a facet $C' \neq C$, such that $y \in C' \subseteq \langle C \rangle$. By Corollary 10.6, we have $\widetilde{h}(z) \in S_G$. □

13. $\mathcal{A}$-finite reflection maps

Now we know that $\mathcal{A}$-finite germs of reflection maps $(\mathbb{C}^n, 0) \to (\mathbb{C}^p, 0)$ with corank ≥ 2 can only exist for $p \geq 2n - 1$. Here we give criteria for $\mathcal{A}$-finiteness for map-germs of arbitrary corank in these non-obstructed dimensions. For reflection maps, these criteria are specially useful in combination with the decomposition of $B^2(f) = \bigcup_g B_g(h)$, and allow us to obtain new examples of $\mathcal{A}$-finite reflection maps of corank ≥ 2.

Proposition 13.1. *For $p \geq 2n$, a map-germ $f\colon (\mathbb{C}^n, 0) \to (\mathbb{C}^p, 0)$ is $\mathcal{A}$-finite if and only if $B^2(f)$ is contained in the fiber of the origin.*

Proof. In these dimensions, a stable map is an immersion with normal crossings, and the normal crossings condition implies that strict double points are isolated. Now the result follows from the description of $B^2(f)$ in terms of singular points and strict double points in Proposition 9.3, item 1. □

Corollary 13.2. *For $p \geq 2n$, a reflection map-germ $f\colon (\mathbb{C}^n, 0) \to (\mathbb{C}^{2n}, 0)$ is $\mathcal{A}$ finite if and only if all $B_g(h)$ are contained in the fiber of the origin.*

Proposition 13.3. *A map-germ $f\colon (\mathbb{C}^n,0)\to(\mathbb{C}^{2n-1},0)$ is $\mathcal{A}$-finite if and only if the following conditions hold:*

1. *Away from the fiber of the origin, $B^2(f)$ is a reduced curve,*
2. *The germ f has no strict triple points.*

Proof. Assume that f is $\mathcal{A}$-finite. Then, away from the origin, $B^2(f)$ is a smooth curve, by Proposition 9.3, item 4. It is well known that in these dimensions strict triple points are (at most) isolated for $\mathcal{A}$-finite maps (see, for instance, [35]).

Now assume that (1) and (2) hold. Condition (1) implies that there are no points of corank ≥ 2 in $\mathbb{C}^n\setminus\{0\}$ accumulating at the origin, because otherwise they would produce a positive dimensional locus of points in $\mathbb{C}^n$ whose fiber in $B^2(f)$ is a projective space $\mathbf{P}^k, k\geq 1$, and therefore $B^2(f)$ would have dimension greater than 1 away from the origin. Now that we know that the corank ≥ 2 locus is concentrated at the origin, we can use Marar-Mond's characterization of corank 1 stable maps: We need to show that $D^2(f)$ is smooth of dimension 1 away from the origin and $D^3(f)$ is concentrated at the origin.

Away from the origin, f has corank ≤ 1, and then $B^2(f)$ and $D^2(f)$ are isomorphic, so the claim on the double points holds. Triple points can be of two types: strict points $(x,x',x'')\in\mathbb{C}^{3n}, f(x)=f(x')=f(x''), x\neq x'\neq x''\neq x$, and (permutations of) points of the form (x,x,x'') where f is singular at x. By hypothesis, we only have to care about the second type. An argument on the multiplicity of double points shows that, if $D^2(f)$ is reduced, then it does not have a component consisting generically of diagonal singular points $(x,x)\in D^2(f)$. Since $D^2(f)$ is smooth of dimension 1 away from the origin, we conclude that f has no singular points away from the origin. Therefore, triple points of the second type are concentrated at the origin. □

Corollary 13.4. *A reflection map-germ $f\colon(\mathbb{C}^n,0)\to(\mathbb{C}^{2n-1},0)$ is $\mathcal{A}$-finite if and only if, away from the fiber of the origin, all $B_g(h)$ are empty or disjoint reduced curves without intersection.*

Theorem 13.5. *Let H be an $n\times n$ matrix with entries in $\mathbb{R}$, all whose submatrices have maximal rank. Let $m_1,\dots,m_{2n}$ be pairwise coprime positive integers. The map-germ $f\colon(\mathbb{C}^n,0)\to(\mathbb{C}^{2n},0)$, given by*

$$x\mapsto({x_1}^{m_1},\dots,{x_n}^{m_n},{(Hx)_1}^{m_{n+1}},\dots,{(Hx)_n}^{m_{2n}}),$$

is $\mathcal{A}$-finite.

Proof. The claim follows directly from Proposition 13.7 and Lemma 13.9 below. □

Example 20. For pairwise coprime integers m_i, the following germs are $\mathcal{A}$-finite:

$$\begin{aligned} x&\mapsto(x^{m_1},x^{m_2})\\ (x_1,x_2)&\mapsto({x_1}^{m_1},{x_2}^{m_2},(x_1+x_2)^{m_3},(x_1-x_2)^{m_4})\\ (x_1,x_2,x_3)&\mapsto({x_1}^{m_1},{x_2}^{m_2},{x_3}^{m_3},(x_1+x_2+x_3)^{m_4},(x_1-x_2+2x_3)^{m_5},(x_1+2x_2-x_3)^{m_6}). \end{aligned}$$

Theorem 13.6. *Let H be an $(n-1)\times n$ matrix with entries in $\mathbb{R}$, all whose submatrices have maximal rank. Let $m_1,\dots,m_{2n-1}$ be odd and pairwise coprime positive integers. The map-germ $f\colon(\mathbb{C}^n,0)\to(\mathbb{C}^{2n-1},0)$, given by*

$$x\mapsto({x_1}^{m_1},\dots,{x_n}^{m_n},{(Hx)_1}^{m_{n+1}},\dots,{(Hx)_{n-1}}^{m_{2n-1}}),$$

is $\mathcal{A}$-finite.

Proof. The claim follows directly from Proposition 13.8 and Lemma 13.10 below. □

Example 21. For odd and pairwise coprime integers m_i, the following germs are $\mathcal{A}$-finite:

$$(x_1, x_2) \mapsto ({x_1}^{m_1}, {x_2}^{m_2}, (x_1 + x_2)^{m_3})$$
$$(x_1, x_2, x_3) \mapsto ({x_1}^{m_1}, {x_2}^{m_2}, {x_3}^{m_3}, (x_1 + x_2 + x_3)^{m_4}, (x_1 - x_2 + 2x_3)^{m_5}).$$

Remark 9. It is not clear to the author whether the condition that the exponents m_i are odd is necessary. For example, it can be proven easily that, for $n = 2$, Lemma 21 does not require the mentioned condition. In particular, the map

$$(x, y) \mapsto (x^{m_1}, y^{m_2}, (x + y)^{m_3})$$

is $\mathcal{A}$-finite, for any pairwise coprime m_1, m_2 and m_3.

Definition 29. Let H be a matrix with entries in $\mathbb{C}$. We say that H *has the property* **C1** if all its submatrices have maximal rank.

Definition 30. Let H be an $n \times n$ matrix and let $m_1, \ldots, m_{2n}$ be positive integers, we say that H *has the property* **C2** *for* m_i if, for any solution $\xi_j, \eta_i \in \mathbb{C}$ to

$$det(H_{ij}(\eta_i - \xi_j)) = 0,$$

satisfying

$${\xi_i}^{m_i} = 1 \text{ and } {\eta_i}^{m_{n+i}} = 1,$$

there are at least n indices $1 \leq i_1 < \cdots < i_s \leq n$ and $1 \leq j_{s+1} < \cdots < j_n \leq n$, such that $\eta_{i_k} = 1$ and $\xi_{j_k} = 1$.

Proposition 13.7. *Let $m_1, \ldots, m_{2n}$ be positive integers and let H be a matrix satisfying* **C1** *and* **C2** *for m_i. The germ $f\colon (\mathbb{C}^n, 0) \to (\mathbb{C}^{2n}, 0)$, given by*

$$x \mapsto ({x_1}^{m_1}, \ldots, {x_n}^{m_n}, {(Hx)_1}^{m_{n+1}}, \ldots, {(Hx)_n}^{m_{2n}}),$$

is $\mathcal{A}$-finite.

Proof. The map f is the G-reflection map given by the graph $h\colon \mathbb{C}^n \hookrightarrow \mathbb{C}^{2n}$ of H and $G = Z_{m_1,\ldots,m_{2n}}$. By Corollary 13.2, it suffices to show that, for every $i_a \in G \setminus \{1\}$, with $a = (a_1, \ldots, a_{2n})$ (see Example 16 for notation), the double-point branch $B_{i_a}(h)$ is contained in the fiber of the origin.

For $j = 1, \ldots, n$, let

$$\eta_j = e^{\frac{2\pi i}{m_j} a_j} \text{ and } \xi_j = e^{\frac{2\pi i}{m_{n+j}} a_{n+j}}.$$

By Example 18, the equations of $B_a(h)$ in the variables x, x', u of $B^2(\mathbb{C}^n)$ are

$$x'_i = \eta_i x,$$
$$(Hx')_i = \xi_i (Hx)_i,$$

together with

$$u_i = 0 \text{ if } \xi_i = 1,$$
$$(Hu)_i = 0 \text{ if } \eta_i = 1.$$

Eliminating x', the first two equations turn into the homogeneous linear system $Mx = 0$, where $M_{ij} = H_{ij}(\eta_i - \xi_j)$. If $B_a(h)$ is not contained in the origin, then $\det(M) = 0$, and by **C2**, at least n elements in $\eta_1, \ldots, \eta_n, \xi_1, \ldots, \xi_n$ are equal to 1. Let H' be the $2n \times n$ matrix obtained by adding the rows of H to the identity matrix of size n. Clearly, **C1** implies that every $n \times n$

submatrix of H' has rank n. If we pick any n of the elements in η_i or ξ_i which are equal to 1, we obtain a system $Su = 0$ for the corresponding submatrix S of H'. Since S has maximal rank, this equation cannot be met by any $u \in \mathbf{P}^{n-1}$. □

Definition 31. Let H be an $(n-1) \times n$ matrix and let $m_1, \dots, m_{2n-1}$ be positive integers, we say that H *has the property* **C3** *for* m_i if, for any solution $\xi_j, \eta_i \in \mathbb{C}$ to

$$\operatorname{rank}(H_{ij}(\eta_i - \xi_j)) < n - 1,$$

satisfying

$$\xi_i{}^{m_i} = 1 \text{ and } \eta_i{}^{m_{n+i}} = 1,$$

there are at least n indices $1 \leq i_1 < \cdots < i_s \leq n-1$ and $1 \leq j_{s+1} < \cdots < j_n \leq n$, such that $\eta_{i_k} = 1$ and $\xi_{j_k} = 1$.

Definition 32. Let H be a $(n-1) \times n$ matrix and let $m_1, \dots, m_{2n-1}$ be positive integers. Write M for the $(3n-2) \times n$ matrix obtained by concatenating the $n \times n$ diagonal matrix with entries $\xi_i - \xi'_i$ and the two $(n-1) \times n$ matrices $(H_{ij}(\eta_i - \xi_j))$ and $(H_{ij}(\eta'_i - \xi'_j))$. We say that H satisfies **C4** for m_i if, for any solution $\xi_j, \xi'_j, \eta_i, \eta'_i \in \mathbb{C}$ to the equations

$$\operatorname{rank}(M) < n,$$

satisfying

$$\xi_j{}^{m_j} = \xi'_j{}^{m_j} = 1 \text{ and } \eta_i{}^{m_{n+i}} = \eta'_i{}^{m_{n+i}} = 1$$

and

$$(\xi_1, \dots, \xi_n, \eta_1, \dots, \eta_{n-1}) \neq (\xi'_1, \dots, \xi'_n, \eta'_1, \dots, \eta'_{n-1}),$$

there are at least n indices $1 \leq i_1 < \cdots < i_s \leq n-1$ and $1 \leq j_{s+1} < \cdots < j_n \leq n$, such that $\eta_{i_k} = 1$ or $\eta'_{i_k} = 1$, and $\xi_{j_k} = 1$ or $\xi'_{j_k} = 1$.

Proposition 13.8. *Let $m_1, \dots, m_{2n-1}$ be positive integers and let H be a matrix satisfying* **C1**, **C3** *and* **C4** *for m_i. The germ $f \colon (\mathbb{C}^n, 0) \to (\mathbb{C}^{2n}, 0)$, given by*

$$x \mapsto (x_1{}^{m_1}, \dots, x_n{}^{m_n}, (Hx)_1{}^{m_{n+1}}, \dots, (Hx)_{n-1}{}^{m_{2n-1}}),$$

is $\mathcal{A}$-finite.

Proof. The map f is the G-reflection map given by the graph $h \colon \mathbb{C}^n \hookrightarrow \mathbb{C}^{2n-1}$ of H and $G = Z_{m_1,\dots,m_{2n-1}}$. By Corollary 13.4, it suffices to check the following conditions:

(1) For every $i_a \in G \setminus \{1\}$, with $a = (a_1, \dots, a_{2n-1})$, the double-point branch $B_{i_a}(h)$ is a empty or a reduced curve away from the origin.
(2) The intersection of any two double-point branches $B_{i_a}(h) \cap B_{i_{a'}}(h)$, with $a \neq a'$, is contained in the fibre of the origin.

The equations of $B_{i_a}(f)$ are as in the proof of 13.7, and the same ideas used there show that **C3** implies (1).

To show (2) let

$$\xi_1 = e^{\frac{2\pi i}{m_1} a_1}, \dots, \xi_n = e^{\frac{2\pi i}{m_n} a_n},\ \eta_1 = e^{\frac{2\pi i}{m_{n+1}} a_{n+1}}, \dots, \eta_{n-1} = e^{\frac{2\pi i}{m_{2n-1}} a_{2n-1}}$$

and

$$\xi'_1 = e^{\frac{2\pi i}{m_1} a'_1}, \dots, \xi'_n = e^{\frac{2\pi i}{m_n} a'_n},\ \eta'_1 = e^{\frac{2\pi i}{m_{n+1}} a'_{n+1}}, \dots, \eta'_{n-1} = e^{\frac{2\pi i}{m_{2n-1}} a'_{2n-1}}.$$

After eliminating x', a point in space $B_{i_a}(h) \cap B_{i'_a}(h)$ corresponds to a point $(x, u) \in \mathbb{C}^n \times \mathbf{P}^{n-1}$, satisfying

$$Mx = 0$$

and

$$u_i = 0 \text{ if } \xi_i = 1 \text{ or } \xi'_i = 1,$$
$$(Hu)_i = 0 \text{ if } \eta_i = 1 \text{ or } \eta'_i = 1.$$

Therefore, condition **C4** implies (2). □

To give examples with arbitrarily high exponents, we need to check condition **C2**, or conditions **C3** and **C4**, for all these exponents. The following two technical lemmas spare us to do so under some hypothesis. Their proofs are given in the final Section 15.

Lemma 13.9. *Let $m_1, \ldots, m_{2n}$ be pairwise coprime positive integers and let H be an $n \times n$ matrix with entries in $\mathbb{R}$. If H satisfies* **C1**, *then it also satisfies* **C2** *for m_i.*

Lemma 13.10. *Let $m_1, \ldots, m_{2n}$ be odd and pairwise coprime positive integers and let H be an $(n-1) \times n$ matrix with entries in $\mathbb{R}$. If H satisfies* **C1**, *then it also satisfies* **C3** *and* **C4** *for m_i.*

14. Final Remarks

We look for reflection maps in some well known classifications of map-germs. As we review these classifications, we see that in dimensions where the $\mathcal{A}$-finiteness of reflection maps is unobstructed, that is, whenever p is at least $2n-1$, much of the families we come across are reflection maps, specially in the $\mathcal{A}$-simple case. We also give some motivation for the search of $\mathcal{A}$-finite maps of any corank with coordinate functions of unbound order.

Corank one, classifications. There are several classifications $\mathcal{A}$-finite maps that we can search for reflection maps. All of them contain only corank one germs, and they include maps $(\mathbb{C}^n, 0) \to (\mathbb{C}^p, 0)$, for (n, p) equal to $(1, 2), (1, 3), (2, 3), (3, 4)$ and $(n, 2n)$.

For dimensions $(n, p) = (1, 2)$ the $\mathcal{A}$-simple plane curves were classified by Gibson and Hobbs [12]. For $(n, p) = (1, 3)$, the classification of $\mathcal{A}$-simple space curves corresponds to Bruce and Gaffney [4]. Is not surprising that all these curves are reflection maps, as it is enough that one of the coordinate functions of a curve is a monomial t^m for the curve to be a Z_m-reflection map. Perhaps more interesting is the fact that all these curves can be described using just Z_m-reflection maps, for $m = 2, 3, 4$. It is also worth noting that most of these map-germs have two or three monomials as coordinate functions, and thus can be described as Z_{m_1,m_2} or Z_{m_1,m_2,m_3}-reflection maps defined by very simple embeddings $h\colon (\mathbb{C}, 0) \hookrightarrow (\mathbb{C}^p, 0)$. For example, the second list contains the germs of the form $t \mapsto (t^3, t^{3k+1}, t^{3n+2})$, which are $Z_{3,3k+1,3n+2}$-reflection maps given by the embedding $t \mapsto (t, t, t)$.

We have already mentioned Mond's classification of $\mathcal{A}$-simple germs $(\mathbb{C}^2, 0) \to (\mathbb{C}^3, 0)$, consisting only of fold maps and Z_3-reflection maps [26] (see Example 8). Also in [26], Mond includes the list of all $\mathcal{A}$-finite non-simple germs up-to $\mathcal{A}_e$-codimension 6. This list contains further reflection maps, namely the Z_4-reflection map $T_4\colon (x, y) \mapsto (x, y^4, xy + y^3)$, and the Z_3-reflection map $X_4\colon (x, y) \mapsto (x, y^3, x^2y + xy^2 + y^4)$. The remaining germs in the list are not reflection maps in any obvious way, but most of them can be expressed as unfoldings of reflection maps of the form $y \mapsto (y^a, y^b)$.

The classification of $\mathcal{A}$-simple germs $(\mathbb{C}^n,0)\to(\mathbb{C}^{2n},0), n\geq 2$, was carried out in [19] by C. Klotz, O. Pop and J. H. Rieger. It consists of two lists, one for $n=2$, whose families are labelled by roman numerals, and another for $n\geq 3$, labelled by arabic numerals. The list for $n=2$ consists only of reflection maps; it contains fold-maps ($\mathrm{I}_k, \mathrm{II}_k, \mathrm{III}_{k,l}, \mathrm{IV}_k, \mathrm{V}$ and VI), Z_3-reflection maps ($\mathrm{VII}_k, \mathrm{VIII}_k, \mathrm{IX}_k, \mathrm{X}, \mathrm{XI}, \mathrm{XIII}$ and XIV) and one family (XII_k) of Z_4-reflection maps. A number of these germs can also be regarded as further Z_m and (non-essential) Z_{m_1,m_2}-reflection maps: I_k (groups Z_{2k+1} and $Z_{2,2k+1}$), II_k (Z_3 and $Z_{2,3}$), V (Z_5 and $Z_{2,5}$), X (Z_4 and $Z_{3,4}$) and XI (Z_5 and $Z_{3,5}$). For $n\geq 3$ the situation is similar, with the exception that there is a family, labelled 22_k (with $k\geq 2$, for $n=3$, and just one germ for $n\geq 4$, given by $k=2$), which does not seem to be made of reflection-maps. It has the form $(x_1,\ldots,x_{n-1},y)\mapsto(x_1,\ldots,x_{n-1},x_1y+y^3,x_2y,\ldots,x_{n-1}y,x_1y^2+y^{2k+1},x_2y^2+y^4)$.

Away from the dimensions $(n,p), p\geq n$, there is Houston and Kirk's [16] list of map-germs $(\mathbb{C}^3,0)\to(\mathbb{C}^4,0)$, containing all $\mathcal{A}$-simple germs, and all non-simple ones with $\mathcal{A}_e$-codimension at most 4. Many of these are fold maps, labelled $A_k, D_k, E_6, E_7, E_8, B_k, C_k$ and F_k. By Theorem 12.3, the list cannot contain Z_m-reflection maps for $m\geq 3$ (observe that, a priori, it could contain non-essential corank 1 reflection maps). Being germs of corank 1, all germs in the list are unfoldings of maps $(\mathbb{C}^2,0)\to(\mathbb{C}^3,0)$ and, remarkably, all the $\mathcal{A}$-simple germs which are not fold maps are unfoldings of Z_3-reflection maps. Some can be seen also as unfoldings of Z_m-reflection maps, for $m=4,5$ and 7. The list of non-simple includes no reflection map, but some of its members are unfoldings of Z_3 and Z_4-reflection maps.

Corank two and Mond's conjecture. In the case of corank greater than one, we are not much interested in classifications, but in problems such as Conjecture 1 or Mond's conjecture [30]:

Conjecture 2. *The image Milnor number of an $\mathcal{A}$-finite map-germ $f\colon(\mathbb{C}^n,0)\to(\mathbb{C}^{n+1},0)$ is greater than or equal to its $\mathcal{A}_e$-codimension, with equality in the quasi-homogeneous case.*

The concepts in the statement are beyond the scope of this work, but the conjecture may be regarded as the result for maps corresponding to the inequality $\tau\leq\mu$ for the Tjurina and Milnor numbers of a germ of hypersurface with an isolated singularity. Mond's conjecture is known to be true for $n=1$ [28] and $n=2$ [6] and (in a slightly different version) for fold maps [15], but all other cases remain open. However, in [10] it is shown that the conjecture can be reduced to families of examples. More precisely: in order to prove the conjecture for a fixed dimension n and up-to corank k, it suffices to check the conjecture for one family of $\mathcal{A}$-finite map-germs

$$f^N\colon(\mathbb{C}^n,0)\to(\mathbb{C}^{n+1}), N\in\mathbb{N},$$

where at least $k+1$ coordinate functions of f^N start at order at least N (that is, the degree of the lowest non-zero term in the Taylor expansion is at least N). The problem is that we lack such a family. This makes the search of $\mathcal{A}$-finite examples with coordinate functions of unbounded order (and hence of rank 0) into an interesting problem.

Recently, Altintas [2] has introduced examples of $\mathcal{A}$-finite germs $(\mathbb{C}^3,0)\to(\mathbb{C}^4,0)$ of corank 2. From Theorem 12.3 it follows that these cannot be reflection maps. However, Altintas' family

$$B_{2l+1}\colon\ (x,y,z)\mapsto(x,y^2+xz,z^2+\alpha xy,y^{2l+1}+y^{2l}z+yz^{2l}-z^{2l-1})$$

(the values of α are determined by l) is an unfolding of the double fold map

$$(y,z)\mapsto(y^2,z^2,y^{2l+1}+y^{2l}z+yz^{2l}-z^{2l-1}).$$

In the same vein as Altintas' work, it should be possible to produce $\mathcal{A}$-finite examples $(\mathbb{C}^n,0)\to(\mathbb{C}^{n+1})$ of corank 2, with increasing multiplicities, by taking suitable unfoldings of the $\mathcal{A}$-finite

germ

$$(x, y) \mapsto (x^{m_1}, y^{m_2}, (x + y)^{m_3}),$$

with m_1, m_2 and m_3 pairwise coprime (Proposition 9).

Alternatively, it should also be possible to produce $\mathcal{A}$-finite map-germs of corank n by taking the composition of an $\mathcal{A}$-finite germ of reflection map $(\mathbb{C}^n, 0) \to (\mathbb{C}^{2n}, 0)$ (see Proposition 13.7 and Example 20), with an adequate projection $(\mathbb{C}^{2n}, 0) \to (\mathbb{C}^p, 0)$.

15. Some auxiliary results:

Here we prove Lemmas 13.9 and 13.10.

Notation. For any subset $J \subseteq \{1, \dots, n\}$, we write

$$x^J = \prod_{i \in J} x_i.$$

Fixed a positive degree $d \leq n$, we write

$$\mathcal{S} = \{J \subseteq \{1, \dots, n\} \mid |J| = d\}.$$

As usual, we will denote by $\mathbb{R}(\xi_1, \dots, \xi_s)$ the extension of $\mathbb{R}$ obtained by adjoining the elements $\xi_1, \dots, \xi_s \in \mathbb{C}$.

Lemma 15.1. *Let $\xi_1, \dots, \xi_s \in \mathbb{C}$, such that ${\xi_i}^{m_i} = 1$, for some pairwise coprime positive integers $m_1, \dots, m_s$. If $\xi_1 \notin \mathbb{R}$, then $\xi_1 \notin \mathbb{R}(\xi_2, \dots, \xi_s)$.*

Lemma 15.2. *Let p be a degree $d \geq 1$ homogeneous polynomial in $\mathbb{R}[x_1, \dots, x_n]$ of the form*

$$p = \sum_{J \in \mathcal{S}} a_J x^J,$$

with all $a_J \neq 0$. If $p(\xi) = 0$, for some $\xi = (\xi_1, \dots, \xi_n) \in \mathbb{C}^n$, then there is at least $n - d + 1$ coordinates ξ_i, such that $\xi_i \in \mathbb{R}(\xi_1, \dots, \xi_{i-1}, \xi_{i+1}, \dots, \xi_n)$.

Proof. By induction on d. If $d = 1$, then p is a linear polynomial with coefficients in $\mathbb{R}$, and

$$a_1\xi_1 + \cdots + a_n\xi_n = 0,$$

together with $a_i \neq 0$, implies $\xi_i \in \mathbb{R}(\xi_1, \dots, \xi_{i-1}, \xi_{i+1}, \dots, \xi_n)$, for all i, as desired.

Now assume that the statement holds for polynomials of degree $d - 1$. We may assume that there is one $\xi_i \notin \mathbb{R}(\xi_1, \dots, \xi_{i-1}, \xi_{i+1}, \dots, \xi_n)$. Now decompose

$$p(x) = x_i \sum_{i \in J \in \mathcal{S}} a_J x^{J \setminus \{i\}} + \sum_{i \notin J \in \mathcal{S}} a_J x^J.$$

Now $\sum_{i \in J \in \mathcal{S}} a_J x^{J \setminus \{i\}}$ is a degree $d - 1$ polynomial in $n - 1$ variables of the right form, and the claim follows by the induction hypothesis. □

Notation 1. Given two matrices A and B of the same size, we write $A \star B$ for the entrywise product of A and B.

Lemma 15.3. *Let $H = (H_{ij})$ be a $n \times n$ matrix with entries in $\mathbb{R}$, all whose submatrices have maximal rank. Let $\xi_1, \dots, \xi_n, \eta_1, \dots, \eta_n \in \mathbb{C}$, such that ${\xi_i}^{m_i} = 1$ and ${\eta_i}^{m_{i+1}} = 1$ for some pairwise coprime positive integers $m_1, \dots, m_{2n}$, and let*

$$M = (H_{ij}(\eta_i - \xi_j)).$$

If $\det(M) = 0$, then at least $n + 1$ of the elements $\xi_1, \dots, \xi_n, \eta_1, \dots, \eta_n$ are contained in $\mathbb{R}$.

Proof. By interchanging the roles of ξ_i and η_i if necessary, we may assume that $m_{n+1}, \dots, m_{2n} \neq 2$ and, by symmetry, we may assume $\eta_1, \dots, \eta_k \notin \mathbb{R}$, $\eta_{k+1} = \cdots = \eta_n = 1$. Now expand

$$\det(M) = \det\left(\begin{pmatrix} 1 & \dots & 1 \\ \eta_2 - \xi_1 & & \eta_2 - \xi_n \\ \vdots & & \vdots \\ \eta_n - \xi_1 & \dots & \eta_n - \xi_n \end{pmatrix} \star H \right) \eta_1 + B,$$

where $B \in \mathbb{R}(\xi_i, \eta_2, \dots, \eta_n)$. Since η_1 is not a real number, then $\eta_1 \notin \mathbb{R}(\xi_1, \dots, \xi_n, \eta_2, \dots, \eta_n)$ by Lemma 15.1. Therefore, $\det(M) = 0$ implies

$$\det\left(\begin{pmatrix} 1 & \dots & 1 \\ \eta_2 - \xi_1 & & \eta_2 - \xi_n \\ \vdots & & \vdots \\ \eta_n - \xi_1 & \dots & \eta_n - \xi_n \end{pmatrix} \star H \right) = 0.$$

The same argument can be applied to the new matrix and η_2, and so on. We study the cases $k = n$ and $k < n$ separately.

If $k = n$, then by iterating the process we get that $\det(M) = 0$ implies

$$D := \det\left(\begin{pmatrix} 1 & \dots & 1 \\ \vdots & & \vdots \\ 1 & \dots & 1 \\ \eta_n - \xi_1 & \dots & \eta_n - \xi_n \end{pmatrix} \star H \right) = 0.$$

Therefore, $D = \det(H)\eta_n + C$ for some $C \in \mathbb{R}(\xi_1, \dots, \xi_n)$. Since $\det(H) \neq 0$ by hypothesis, from Lemma 15.1 follows $\eta \in \mathbb{R}$, in contradiction with $k = n$.

If $k < n$, then we apply the process to $\eta_1, \dots, \eta_k$, and get that $\det(M) = 0$ implies

$$D := \det\left(\begin{pmatrix} 1 & \dots & 1 \\ \vdots & & \vdots \\ 1 & \dots & 1 \\ 1 - \xi_1 & \dots & 1 - \xi_n \\ \vdots & & \vdots \\ 1 - \xi_1 & \dots & 1 - \xi_n \end{pmatrix} \star H \right) = 0,$$

where each ξ_i is repeated $d := n - k$ times.

For any $J \in \mathcal{S}$, let a_J be the product of the minor of H obtained by picking the columns in J and the last d rows, and the minor obtained by picking the columns of H not in J and the first k rows, with the adequate sign. This way, $D = 0$ if and only $((1 - \xi_1), \dots, (1 - \xi_n))$ is a solution of

$$p(x) = \sum_{J \in \mathcal{S}} a_J x^J = 0.$$

By Lemma 15.2, $D = 0$ implies that there is at least $k + 1$ of the ξ_i, satisfying $(1 - \xi_i) \in \mathbb{R}((1-\xi_1), \dots, (1-\xi_{i-1}), (1-\xi_{i+1}), \dots, (1-\xi_n))$, or equivalently, $\xi_i \in \mathbb{R}(\xi_1, \dots, \xi_{i-1}, \xi_{i+1}, \dots, \xi_n)$. By Lemma 15.1, these ξ_i are in $\mathbb{R}$. Since we also have $\eta_{k+1} = \cdots = \eta_n = 1$, the result follows. □

Proof of Lemma 13.9: From Lemma 15.3, there are $n+1$ real numbers in η_i, ξ_i. These have to be either 1 or -1 but, since only one of the m_i can be divisible by 2, at most one of them is -1. □

Proof of Lemma 13.10: Let H and $m_1, \ldots, m_{2n-1}$ satisfy the stated hypothesis. To show that H satisfies **C3** for m_i, set $m_{2n} = 1$ and add a new row to H, with entries in $\mathbb{R}$, and so that the resulting matrix H' also satisfies **C1**. Let $\xi_j, \eta_i \in \mathbb{C}$ be a solution to

$$\operatorname{rank}(H_{ij}(\eta_i - \xi_j)) < n-1,$$

with ${\xi_i}^{m_i} = 1$ and ${\eta_i}^{m_{n+i}} = 1$, as in Definition 31. Set $\eta_n = 1$ and let $M = (H'_{ij}(\eta_i - \xi_j))$. Since $\det(M) = 0$, the claim follows from Lemma 15.3.

Now we show that H satisfies **C4** for m_i. Given a solution $\xi_j, \xi'_j, \eta_i, \eta'_i$ as in the definition of **C4**, by symmetry, we may assume $\xi_1 = \xi'_1, \ldots, \xi_k = \xi'_k$ and $\xi_{k+1} \neq \xi'_{k+1}, \ldots, \xi_n \neq \xi'_n$, for some $0 \leq k \leq n$. We divide the proof in the cases $k = 0$, $0 < k < n$ and $k = n$. In the case $k = 0$, the upper $n \times n$ submatrix of M has rank n, and thus **C4** for m_i is satisfied trivially.

If we assume $0 < k < n$, then M has the form

$$M = \left(\begin{array}{ccc|ccc} & & & \multicolumn{3}{c}{0} \\ & & & \xi_{k+1}-\xi'_{k+1} & & 0 \\ & & & & \ddots & \\ & & & 0 & & \xi_n - \xi'_n \\ \hline \xi_1-\eta_1 & \cdots & \xi_k-\eta_1 & & & \\ \vdots & & \vdots & & & \\ \xi_1-\eta_{n-1} & \cdots & \xi_k-\eta_{n-1} & & & \\ \hline & & & \multicolumn{3}{c}{*} \end{array}\right) \star L,$$

where L is the matrix obtained by concatenating the identity matrix and two copies of H. The condition $\operatorname{rank}(M) < n$ implies the vanishing of every minor of size k of the matrix

$$M' = \begin{pmatrix} \xi_1-\eta_1 & \cdots & \xi_k-\eta_1 \\ \vdots & & \vdots \\ \xi_1-\eta_{n-1} & \cdots & \xi_k-\eta_{n-1} \end{pmatrix} \star L',$$

for the corresponding submatrix L' of L. By symmetry, we may assume $\eta_1 \neq 1, \ldots, \eta_l \neq 1$ and $\eta_{l+1} = \cdots = \eta_{n-1} = 1$. Let L'' be the upper $k \times k$ submatrix of L'. The matrix L'' satisfies the hypothesis of Lemma 15.3 trivially (for $n = k$) and the matrix $M'' = (L''_{ij}(\eta_i - \xi_j))$ satisfies $\det(M'') = 0$. If s is the number of elements ξ_i, with $i \leq k$, which are equal to 1, then it suffices to show $n \leq s + n - 1 - l$, that is, to show $s \geq l + 1$, and this follows by Lemma 15.3 applied to L''.

Finally, assume $k = n$. The condition $\operatorname{rank}(M) < n$ is equivalent to $\operatorname{rank}(M') < n$, for the matrix

$$M' = \begin{pmatrix} \xi_1 - \eta_1 & \dots & \xi_n - \eta_1 \\ \vdots & & \vdots \\ \xi_1 - \eta_{n-1} & \dots & \xi_n - \eta_{n-1} \\ \xi_1 - \eta'_1 & \dots & \xi_n - \eta'_1 \\ \vdots & & \vdots \\ \xi_1 - \eta'_{n-1} & \dots & \xi_n - \eta'_{n-1} \end{pmatrix} \star L',$$

where L' is obtained by concatenating two copies of H. Since $\xi_j = \xi'_j$ for all j, there is some i such that $\eta_i \neq \eta'_i$ and, by symmetry, we may assume $\eta_1 \neq \eta'_1$. The condition $\operatorname{rank}(M) < n$ implies the vanishing of the determinant of the matrix

$$N = \begin{pmatrix} \xi_1 - \eta_1 & \dots & \xi_n - \eta_1 \\ \vdots & & \vdots \\ \xi_1 - \eta_{n-1} & \dots & \xi_n - \eta_{n-1} \\ \xi_1 - \eta'_1 & \dots & \xi_n - \eta'_1 \end{pmatrix} \star \begin{pmatrix} H_1 \\ \vdots \\ H_{n-1} \\ H_1 \end{pmatrix},$$

where H_i stands for the ith row of H. Expanding the first and last rows by linearity, an easy computation shows that $\det(N) = 0$ implies the vanishing of the determinant of the matrix

$$N = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & \dots & 1 \\ \xi_1 - \eta_2 & \dots & \xi_n - \eta_2 \\ \vdots & & \vdots \\ \xi_1 - \eta_{n-1} & \dots & \xi_n - \eta_{n-1} \\ \xi_1 - 1 & \dots & \xi_n - 1 \end{pmatrix} \star \begin{pmatrix} H_1 \\ \vdots \\ H_{n-1} \\ H_1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Now the statement follows as in the proof of Lemma 15.3. □

References

[1] A. Altıntaş Sharland. *Multiple point spaces and finitely determined map-germs*. PhD thesis, University of Warwick, UK, 2011.

[2] A. Altıntaş Sharland. Examples of finitely determined map-germs of corank 2 from n-space to $(n+1)$-space. *Internat. J. Math*, page 17, 2014.

[3] V.I. Arnol'd. Critical points of functions on manifolds with boundary. *Russian Math. Surveys*, 33:99–116, 1978.

[4] J. W. Bruce and T. Gaffney. Simple singularities of mappings $\mathbb{C}, 0 \to \mathbb{C}^2, 0$. *J. London Math. Soc.*, 26(2):465–474, 1982.

[5] C. Chevalley. Invariants of finite groups generated by reflections. *American Journal of Mathematics*, 77(4):778–782, 1955.

[6] T. de Jong and D van Straten. *Disentanglements*, volume 1462 of *Lecture Notes in Math. Singularity theory and its applications, Part I (Coventry, 1988/1989)*. Springer, Berlin, 1991.

[7] L.M. Fehér and B. Kömüves. On the second order thom-boardman singularities. *Fundamenta Mathematica*, 191:249–264, 2006.

[8] L.M. Fehér and R. Rimányi. Thom series of contact singularities. *Annals of Mathematics*, 176(3):1381–1426, 2012.

[9] J. Fernández de Bobadilla. A reformulation of Lê's conjecture. *Indag. Mathem.*, 17(3):345–352, 2006.

[10] J. Fernández de Bobadilla, J.J. Nuño Ballesteros, and G. Peñafort Sanchis. A Jacobian module for disentanglements and applications to Mond's conjecture. arXiv:1604.02422, 2016.

[11] C. G. Gibson. *Singular points of smooth mappings*. Pitman, 1979.

[12] C. G. Gibson and C. Hobbs. Simple singularities of space curves. *Math. Proc. Cambridge Phil. Soc.*, 113:297–310, 1993.
[13] M. Golubitsky and V. Guillemin. *Stable Mappings and Their Singularities*. Springer, 1986.
[14] D. Hilbert. Ueber die theorie der algebraischen formen. *Math. Ann.*, 36(4):473–534, 1890.
[15] K. Houston. On singularities of folding maps and augmentations. *Math. Scand.*, 82:191–206, 1998.
[16] K. Houston and N. Kirk. On the classification and geometry of corank 1 map-germs from three-space to four-space. *London Math. Soc. Lecture Note Ser.*, pages 325–351, 1999.
[17] J. Humphreys. *Reflection Groups and Coxeter Groups*, volume 29. Cambridge studies in advanced mathematics, 1990.
[18] S. L. Kleiman. Multiple-point formulas I: Iteration. *Acta mathematica*, 147:13–49, 1981.
[19] C. Klotz, O. Pop, and J. H. Rieger. Real double-points of deformations of $\mathcal{A}$-simple map-germs from $\mathbb{R}^n$ to $\mathbb{R}^{2n}$. *Math. Proc. Cambridge Phil. Soc.*, 142:341–363, 2007.
[20] D. Laksov. Residual intersections and Todds formula for the double locus of a morphism. *Acta mathematica*, 140(1-2):75–92, 1977.
[21] Gustav I. Lehrer and Donald E. Taylor. *Unitary Reflection Groups*. Cambridge, 2009.
[22] R. Marangell and R. Rimányi. The general quadruple point formula. *American Journal of Mathematics*, 132(4):867–896, 2010.
[23] W. L. Marar and D. Mond. Multiple point schemes for corank 1 maps. *J. London Math. Soc.*, 2(3):553–567, 1989.
[24] W. L. Marar and J. J. Nuño-Ballesteros. A note on finite determinacy for corank 2 map germs from surfaces to 3-space. *Math. Proc. Cambridge Philos. Soc.*, 145(1):153–163, 2008.
[25] W. L. Marar, J. J. Nuño Ballesteros, and G. Peñafort Sanchis. Double point curves for corank 2 map germs from $\mathbb{C}^2$ to $\mathbb{C}^3$. *Topology Appl.*, 159(2):526–536, 2012.
[26] D. Mond. On the clasification of germs of maps from $\mathbb{R}^2$ to $\mathbb{R}^3$. *Proc. London Math. Soc.*, 50(2):333–369, 1985.
[27] D. Mond. Some remarks on the geometry and classification of germs of maps from surfaces to 3-space. *Topology*, 26(3):361–383, 1987.
[28] D. Mond. Looking at bent wires – $\mathscr{A}_e$-codimensions and the vanishing topology of parametrised curve singularities,. *Math. Proc. Cambridge Phil. Soc.*, 117(2):213–222, 1995.
[29] D. Mond. Disentanglements of corank 2 map-germs: two examples. arXiv:1610.01328, 2016.
[30] D. Mond and J. Montaldi. *Vanishing cycles for analytic maps, Singularity Theory and Applications (Warwick 1989)*, volume 1462. Springer, New York, 1991.
[31] E. Noether. Der endlichkeitssatz der invarianten endlicher gruppen. *Math. Ann.*, 77:89–93, 1916.
[32] J.J. Nuño Ballesteros and G. Peñafort Sanchis. Multiple point spaces of finite holomorphic maps. Accepted for publication in QJM. arXiv:1509.04990, 2016.
[33] T. Ohmoto, T. Yoshida, and Y. Kabata. Bifurcation of plane-to-plane map-germs of carank 2. *Quart. J. Math*, 66:369–391, 2015.
[34] G. Peñafort Sanchis. The geometry of double fold maps. *Journal of Singularities*, 10:250–263, 2014.
[35] G. Peñafort Sanchis. *Multiple point spaces of finite holomorphic maps*. PhD thesis, Universitat de València, 2015.
[36] F. Ronga. La classe duale aux points doubles d'une application. *Compositio Mathematica*, 27(2):223–232, 1972.
[37] G. Shephard and J. A. Todd. Finite unitary reflection groups. *Canadian J. Math*, 6:274–304, 1954.
[38] R. P. Stanley. Invariants of finite groups and their applications to combinatorics. *Bull. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 1(3):475–511, 1979.
[39] R. Steinberg. Differential equations invariant under finite reflection groups. *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc., Providence RI*, pages 392–400, 1968.
[40] Lê Dung Trang. Problem session in proceeedings of seminar, plains-sur-bex, 1982. *Monographies de L'Enseignement Mathematique*, 31, 1983.
[41] C. T. C. Wall. Finite determinacy of smooth map germs. *Bull. London Math. Soc.*, (13):481–539, 1981.
[42] H. Whitney. Differentiable manifolds. *Annals of Mathematics*, 37(3):645–680, 1936.
[43] H. Whitney. the general type of singularity of a set of $2n-1$ smooth functions of n variables. *Duke Math. J.*, 10(1):161–172, 1943.

[44] H. Whitney. The singularities of a smooth n-manifold in $(2n-1)$-space. *Annals of Mathematics*, 45(2):247–293, April 1944.
[45] T. Wilkinson. *The Geometry of Folding Maps*. PhD thesis, University of Newcastle Upon Tyne, 1991.